#### REVIEW

C. C. Giri · B. Shyamkumar · C. Anjaneyulu

# Progress in tissue culture, genetic transformation and applications of biotechnology to trees: an overview

Received: 5 July 2002 / Accepted: 22 July 2003 / Published online: 2 October 2003 © Springer-Verlag 2003

**Abstract** Trees are an integral part of human life, and a vital component of biodiversity. Forest trees in particular are renewable sources of food, fodder, fuel wood, timber and other valuable non-timber products. Due to the rapid growth of population and the human desire to progress, there has been a tremendous reduction in forest cover from the earth's surface. To maintain and sustain forest vegetation, conventional approaches have been exploited in the past for propagation and improvement. However, such efforts are confronted with several inherent bottlenecks. Biotechnological interventions for in vitro regeneration, mass micropropagation and gene transfer methods in forest tree species have been practised with success, especially in the last decade. Against the background of the limitations of long juvenile phases and life span, development of plant regeneration protocols and genetic engineering of tree species are gaining importance. Genetic engineering assumes additional significance, because of the possibility of introducing a desired gene in a single step for precision breeding of forest trees. There are no comprehensive and detailed reviews available combining research developments with major emphases on tissue culture and basic genetic transformation in tree species. The present communication attempts to overview the progress in tissue culture, genetic transformation and biotechnological applications in the last decade and future implications.

**Keywords** Tissue culture · Somatic embryogenesis · Genetic transformation · Trees · Biotechnology

C. C. Giri ( ) B. Shyamkumar · C. Anjaneyulu Centre for Plant Molecular Biology (CPMB), Department of Genetics, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007, A.P., India e-mail: giriccin@yahoo.co.in

Tel.: +91-040-27098087

### Introduction

Since ancient times trees have been an integral part of human life and a vital component of biodiversity. Forest trees are renewable sources of food, fodder, fuel wood, fiber, timber and other valuable non-timber products. Due to rapid deforestation, depletion of genetic resources coupled with escalating human needs the forest cover is being reduced tremendously from the earth's surface. There are alarming threats to forests in particular and biodiversity in general. Amongst others, agricultural plants and trees in general, and forest species in particular, which account for two-thirds of global photosynthesis may be soft targets. There is a great need to conserve tree ecosystems for both their environmental and aesthetic values. To maintain and sustain forest vegetation, conventional approaches have been exploited for propagation and improvement, but tree breeding efforts are restricted to the most valuable and fast growing species. However, such methods are limited with several inherent bottlenecks because trees are generally slow growing, long-lived, sexually self-incompatible and highly heterozygous plants. Due to the prevalence of high heterozygocity in these species, a number of recessive deleterious alleles are retained within populations, resulting in high genetic load and inbreeding depression. This limits the use of traditional breeding methods such as selfing and backcrossing, and makes it difficult to fix desirable alleles in a particular genetic background (Williams and Savolainen 1996). Thus conventional breeding is rather slow and less productive and cannot be used efficiently for the genetic improvement of trees. To circumvent these impediments clonal or vegetative propagation has been deployed for recovering dominant, additive and epistatic genetic effects to select superior genotypes. Plant tissue culture and genetic transformation methods offer an important option for effective multiplication and improvement of trees within a limited time frame. Interventions of biotechnological approaches for in vitro regeneration, mass micropropagation techniques and gene transfer studies in tree species have been encouraging, particularly in the last decade. Somaclonal variation was first reported for woody plants in Citrus grandis (Chaturvedi and Mitra 1975). Therefore, it should be common practice to assure the trueness of tissue culture plants after regeneration. However, somaclonal variation is of immense importance for the isolation of improved clones of forest trees (Ahuja 1993). Recently with the development of molecular techniques it has been revealed that chromosomal variation in tissue culture is probably the rule rather than an exception (Rani and Raina 2000; Endemann et al. 2001; Rahmann and Rajora 2001). Superior trees can be cloned or selected from such in vitro raised plants with judicious assistance of molecular techniques. The availability of protoplast to plant technology for various tree species is of practical importance. Direct application to tree improvement may include parasexual hybridization through protoplast fusion and in vitro selection.

Clonal fidelity is a major consideration in commercial micropropagation using in vitro tissue culture methods. Micropropagation of tree species offers a rapid means of producing clonal planting stock for forestation programmes, woody bio-mass production and conservation of elite and rare germplasm. Plantation or clonal forestry is widely discussed to cope with the expected increasing demand for wood during the next few decades (Fenning and Gersheazon 2002). In general woody trees are difficult to regenerate under in vitro conditions. The sticking constraint in the propagation of trees in vitro is the comparatively poor success with mature explants from adult trees. Most of the trees can be propagated by vegetative means during the juvenile phase. As trees grow and attain maturity the ability of vegetative propagules to root declines. In the past four developmental phases for maturation (ontogenetic aging), each characterized by a unique array of competence for morphogenesis, have been envisaged (Greenwood 1987). These are the embryogenetic phase, the seedling phase (equivalent to juvenile phase), the transition phase (i.e. acquisition of reproductive competence) and the aged or mature phase (i.e. highest reproductive competence and lowest growth competence). It is well established that juvenile tissues facilitate propagation of mature trees. It has also been emphasized that the juvenile characters may be preserved at the base of the tree (ontogenetically young tissue) whereas maturation occurs at the periphery of the plant in tissue that is ontogenetically older but young chronologically. When such material (explants) for the initiation of in vitro culture is not available, some manipulations for reversal of aging or partial rejuvenation are helpful. In such cases conventional methods such as hedging, severe pruning, use of root suckers, spraying with plant growth regulators and stimulating stem segments for epicormic bud flushing have been adopted (Evers et al. 1996). Alternatively, in vitro methods include culture of selected explants such as epicormic buds, repeated sub-culturing, micro-grafting into juvenile root stalks, adventitious bud formation and somatic embryogenesis. During the last few years micropropagation techniques have been used

for the rapid and large-scale propagation of forest trees. Successful micropropagation, especially for difficult and recalcitrant species, is primarily dependent on the quality of explants and plant growth regulators used in culture media. Micropropagation is the only aspect of plant tissue culture that has been convincingly documented with regard to its feasibility for mass scale propagation commercially. Success of in vitro regeneration depends on the control of morphogenesis, which is influenced by several factors namely genetic background, kinds of tissue or explants, nutritional components, growth regulators and culture environment. Two of the basic strategies used for micropropagation of forest tree species are direct regeneration and indirect regeneration via an intermediate callus phase. Indirect regeneration often results in somaclonal variation making the strategy less desirable for large scale clonal multiplication. Therefore, direct regeneration without a callus phase is a reliable method for clonal propagation.

Somatic embryogenesis on the other hand offers immense potential to speed up the propagation of forest trees (Attree and Fowke 1993; Gupta et al. 1993). However, very few protocols for commercial application have been developed which ensure clonal fidelity involving forest tree species. Somatic embryogenesis has been used for mass scale propagation and genetic transformation. The paucity of knowledge controlling somatic embryogenesis, the synchrony of somatic embryo development and low frequency true to type embryonic efficiency are responsible for its reduced commercial application in forest trees.

Somatic embryogenesis has been reported in relatively few woody species (Bonneau et al. 1994). Somatic embryogenesis is of importance in forestry biotechnology for two reasons. First, this system offers the capability to produce unlimited numbers of somatic embryo derived propagules (Attree et al. 1994) and artificial seeds (Lulsdorf 1993). Secondly, the embryogenic culture system could be used efficiently for genetic transformation studies. To obtain a complete conversion into plantlets it is necessary to provide optimum nutritive and environmental conditions. Practical applications of somatic embryogenesis in woody conifers have been demonstrated. The production of artificial seeds using somatic embryos is an obvious choice for efficient transport and storage. Compared to other plant species active research on somatic embryogenesis involving forest trees has been slow. Development of in vitro plant regeneration protocols is a pre-requisite for genetic transformation studies. Transfer of chimeric genes of academic and agronomic importance to the genome of the recipient species through genetic transformation of forest trees has been demonstrated; there have been several recent reviews (Pena and Seguin 2001; van Raemdonck et al. 2001; Fenning and Gershenzon 2002; Herschbach and Kopriva 2002; Campbell et al. 2003). However, there are no comprehensive and detailed reviews available depicting biotechnological research developments with emphasis on tissue culture (micropropagation, somatic

**Table 1** Micropropagation of some important tree species in vitro. [A Apical, AA Ascorbic acid, Ab Axillary bud, Ac Activated charcoal, AC Aspen culture medium, AM Axillary meristem, AR Anderson's Rhododendron medium, AS Adenine sulphate, B5 Gamborg's medium (Gamborg et al. 1968), CN Cotyledonary node, CW Coconut water, DI Dark incubation, DKW Driver and Kuniyuki (1984) medium, GE Germinated embryo, Glu Glutamine, Gtn Glutathione, H Hypocotyl, IN Internode, L Leaf, Lp Leaf petiole,

LP Quoirin and Lepoivre medium, LS Linsmaier and Skoog (1965) medium, MS Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium, MSI Multiple shoot induction, MT Mature tree, N Nodal, ORG Organogenesis, P Plumule, PG Phloroglucinol, PGR Plant growth regulator, R Root, RWM Risser and White's medium(1964), S Seedling, Sb Shoot bud, Sc Stemcutting, SH Schenk and Hilderbrandt (1972) medium, SN Shoot node, STS, Silver thiosulphate, Su Sucrose, WPM Woody plant medium, WS Wolter and Skoog (1966) medium]

Plant species	Explant	Media compo	sitions	Culture	Rooting re	sponse	References
	source	Media + Additives	PGR + Additives	response	Media	PGR	
Acacia auriculiformis	N	MS	BA 1.1 mg/l NAA 0.2 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 0.5 mg/l	Reddy et al. 1995
A. catechu	N	MS	BA 4.0 mg/l NAA 0.5 mg/l AS 25.0 mg/l AA 20.0 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Kaur et al. 1998
A. mearnsii	IS	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l IAA 0.01 mg/l	MSI	1/2MS	NAA0.6 mg/l	Huang et al. 1994
Acer pseudoplantanus	P, H, R	MS	BA 0.25 mg/l TDZ 0.004 mg/l to 0.02 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 25.0 mg/l	Wilhelm 1999
Aegle marmelos	SN	MS	BA 2.5 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Ajithkumar and Seeni 1998
Albizzia julibrissin	R	B5	BA 2.8 mg/l Zeatin 2.1 mg/l TDZ 0.01 mg/l	MSI	B5	IBA 0.1 mg/l	Sankhla et al. 1996
Ancistrocladus abbreviatus	N	1/5th LS	BA 10.0 mg/l NAA 0.01 mg/l 10.05 mg/l TDZ 0.004 mg/l	MSI	AR	IBA 0.8 mg/l	Bringmann et al. 1999
Anacardium occidentale	CN	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l KN 0.5 mg/l Zeatin 2.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA+AC 0.5% NAA+AC IBA+NAA	Das et al. 1996
A. occidentale	SN	1/2 MS+AC	KN 4.0 mg/l Zeatin 4.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 20.0 mg/l	Boggetti et al. 1999
Annona squamosa	H, N	WPM	BA 7.5 mg/l STS 0.5 mg/l	MSI	WPM	AC 10.0 g/l NAA 8.0 mg/l IBA 8.0 mg/l	Lemos and Blake 1996
Aralia sieboldianus	SN	WPM	BA 2.1 mg/l TDZ 0.4 mg/l CPPU4.95 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Yang and Read 1997
Azadirachta indica	L	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l IAA 0.1 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 1.0 mg/l	Salvi et al. 2001
Catalpa ovata	N	SH	BA 0.5 mg/l IAA 0.1 mg/l	ORG	-	-	Lisowska and Wysokinska 2000
Cercis canadensis	ST	AR, WPM	BA 15-5.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2 WPM	NAA 1.3 mg/l	MacKay et al. 1995
C. canadensis	CN	DKW	BA 2.5–3.5 mg/l TDZ 0.1 mg/l or 0.2 mg/l	MSI	1/2 WPM	IBA2-40.0 mg/l	Distabanjong and Geneve 1997
Cleistanthus collinus	N	WPM	BA 0.5 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	IAA 4.0 mg/l DI	Quraishi et al. 1996
Croton sublyratus	SB	MS	BA 0.6 mg/l	MSI	MS	-	Shibata et al. 1996
Cycas revoluta	C	SH	BA 0.9 mg/l NAA 1.0 mg/l	ORG	-	-	Rinaldi and Leva 1995
Dalbergia sissoo	CN	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l 2IP 2.0 mg/l BA 1.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	IAA1.0 mg/l IBA1.0 mg/l IPA 1.5 mg/l	Pradhan et al. 1998
Dendrocalamus strictus	S, Ab	MS+CW	BA 0.25 to 2.0 mg/l KN 0.5 to 1.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 0.25 mg/l	Ravikumar et al. 1998
Elaeagnus angustifolia	L	WPM	BA 0.25 mg/l, 2.5 mg/l GA <sub>3</sub> 0.4 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Economou and Maloupa 1995

Table 1 (continued)

Plant species	Explant	Media compositions		Culture	Rooting re	sponse	References
	source	Media + Additives	PGR + Additives	response	Media	PGR	
Fagus sylvatica	IN	WPM	BA 0.5 mg/l Zeatin 2.0 mg/l IAA 0.1 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Cuenca et al. 2000
Fragus grandifolia	ST, Sb, MT	ACM, WS	BA 0.2 mg/l NAA 0.05 mg/l	MSI	1/2 ACM	IBA 1.0 mg/l	Barker et al. 1997
Gentiana sp.	N	MS,WPM	BA 2.0 mg/l IAA 0.2 mg/l	MSI	MS	NAA 1.0 mg/l	Momcilovic et al. 1997
Gmelina arborea	N	MS	BA 0.25 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 45.0 mg/l	Kannan and Jasrai 1996
Hopea odorata	CN S	MS WPM	BA 0.5 mg/l 2.0 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Scott et al. 1995
Iora coccinea	SC	WPM	BA 0.6 mg/l Kn 2.0 mg/l 2IP 4.0 mg/l	MSI	WPM	NAA 0.2 mg/l	Lakshmanan et al. 1997
Litchi chinensis	S	MS	BA 20.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2MS	IBA 2.0 mg/l	Das et al. 1999
Liquidambar styraciflua	Н	RWM	TDZ 1.0 mg/l 2,4 D 0.01 mg/l	MSI	RWM	IBA 25.0 mg/l	Kim et al. 1997
Madhuca longifolia	Ab, Am	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l NAA 1.0 mg/l IBA 1.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 1.0 mg/l	Rout and Das 1993
Melia azedarach	N, S	MS	BA 4.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	IBA 1.0 mg/l	Thakur et al. 1998
Mimosa tenuiflora	Ab, In	MS	IAA 0.1 mg/l KN 0.3 mg/l IBA 1.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	KN 0.1 mg/l,	Villarreal and Rojas 1996
Morus sp.	Ab, Sb, MT	MS	BA 0.5–1.0 mg/l GA <sub>3</sub> 0.4 mg/l	MSI	MS	IAA, IBA, IPA 1.0 mg/l	Pattnaik and Chand 1997
Nothofagus alpina	L, N	WPM	TDZ 0.1 mg/l IBA 0.01 mg/l	MSI	WPM	BA 0.01 mg/l IBA 0.5 mg/l	Jordan et al. 1996
Paulownia sp.	L	MS	IAA 1.5 mg/l BA 11.3 mg/l	MSI	MS	-	Rao et al. 1996
Photinia fraseri	Ab	MS PG	BA 1.0 mg/l BA 10.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 45.0 mg/l	Malagon et al. 1997
Picea omorika	Sb, S	1/2 MS	BA 2.5 mg/l KN 2.2 mg/l	MSI	1/3 MS	-	Kolevska-Pletikapic and Buturmic-Deric 1995
P.sitchensis	C, S	MS	BA 100.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	-	Drake et al. 1997b
Pinus wallichiana	GE	LP	BA 1.0 mg/l NAA 0.5 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Bastola et al. 2000
Pistacia vara	N	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 4.0 mg/l	Onay 2000
Prunus mume	Ab	WPM, 3% sorbitol	BA 0.25-1.0 mg/l	MSI	WPM	IBA or NAA 1.0–2.0 mg/l	Harada and Murai 1996
P. avium	Sb, MT	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l GA3 0.1 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 2.75 mg/l	Hammatt and Grant 1997
Psidium guajava	C, Sn	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l BA 1.0 mg/l	MSI	MS+AC	IBA 2.0 mg/l	Yasseen et al. 1995
Pterocarpus marsupium	ST	B5	BA 0.1 mg/l	MSI	1/2MS	IAA 4.0 mg/l	Anuradha and Pullaiah 1999
P.santalinus	С	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l KN 1.0 mg/l	MSI	1/4MS	IAA 1.0 mg/l	Arockiasamy et al. 2000
Pyrus communis	L	LP	BA 2.0 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Caboni et al. 1999
Sapium sebiferum	N, MT	MS	BA 0.2–2.0 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l BA 0.5 mg/l, NAA 0.05 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	IBA 2.0 mg/l	Siril and Dhar 1997
Sapindus mukorossi	S	MS	BA 0.1 mg/l GA3 0.15 mg/l	MSI	MS	IBA 0.75 mg/l	Philomina and Rao 2000
Sesbania grandiflora	C, S	MS	NAA 1.0 mg/l BA 1.0 mg/l	MSI	MS	NAA 1.0 mg/l IBA 1.0 mg/l	Detrez et al. 1994

Table 1 (continued)

Plant species	Explant	Media compositions		Culture	Rooting r	response	References
	source	Media + Additives	PGR + Additives	response	Media	PGR	
Simarouba glauca	С	MS	BA 2.5 mg/l NAA 0.25 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	NAA 0.1–0.5 mg/l	Rout and Das 1994a
Spathoglottis plicata	N, L	MS	BA 2.0 mg/l NAA 0.5 mg/l	MSI	MS	NAA 2.0 mg/l BA 2.0 mg/l	Teng et al. 1997
Stryphnodendrun polyphythum	C	MS	BA 4.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	NAA 1.0 mg/l	Franca et al. 1995
Swartzia madagascariensis	N, S	WPM, B <sub>5</sub>	BA 0.5 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	NAA 5.0 mg/l	Berger and Schaffner 1995
Swertia chirata	R	MS	BA 0.6 mg/l	MSI	MS 1/2 MS	IBA 2.0 mg/l NAA 2.0 mg/l IAA 2.0 mg/l	Wawrosch et al. 1999
Tamarix gallica	N	LS	BA 0.8 mg/l Gtn 200 mg/l	MSI	LS	IBA 0.1 mg/l	Lucchesini et al. 1993
Tectona grandis	Sb	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l KN1.0 mg/l	MSI	RWM	IBA 2.0 mg/l	Devi et al. 1994
Thevetia peruviana	E	MS	BA 1.0 mg/l KN 1.0 mg/l	MSI	1/2MS	NAA 1.0 mg/l	Kumar and Kumar 1995
Ulmus pumila	N, MT	MS	BA 0.5 mg/l	MSI	1/2 MS	NAA 0.1 mg/l	Corrchete et al. 1993
U. pumila	ST	MS	BA 0.25 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Cheng and Shi 1995
Vaccinium macrocarpona	L, MT	AR	TDZ 2.2 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l	MSI	-	-	Marcotrigiano et al. 1996

embryogenesis etc.) and basic gene transfer studies in forest tree species. The present review is an attempt towards making a comprehensive study of the development of in vitro regeneration protocols, genetic transformation studies and some of the recent novel approaches for genetic improvement of trees.

## Micropropagation of tree without intervening callus phase

Research work on plant tissue culture has been ongoing for decades. The first complete plants from tissue culture of tree species were regenerated by Winton (1968) from leaf explants of black cotton wood (*Populus trichocarpa*). In addition there are general tissue culture-related challenges such as the production of chimeras, somaclonal variation, and endogenous bacterial contamination; the regeneration of woody plant species is still considered recalcitrant because of the effects related to ontogenetic aging. Some forest tree explants do show positive responses while others are still very recalcitrant in vitro. The term response implies that the tissues will be able to grow, differentiate and that plantlets can be regenerated in vitro. Tree propagation in vitro has been a difficult proposition compared to other plants. Only a few tree species with explants from mature trees have been propagated by tissue culture methods. In general juvenile tissues from forest trees are more responsive to in vitro manipulation than that of mature tissues. The longer life span of trees may add to the problem of contamination in vitro by the symbiotic association of microorganisms.

Besides the age of the tree, the response of explants is primarily determined by genotype, physiological state of the tissue, and time of the year when the explants are collected and cultured. The composition of the media used for establishment of aseptic cultures is important. Several limitations such as low shoot proliferation in forest trees, excessive phenolic exudation (Linington 1991), basal callusing (Marks and Simpson 1994), vitrification (Monsalede et al. 1995), and shoot tip necrosis (Bargchi and Alderson 1996) are pronounced in tree tissue culture. Further, difficulty in rooting (Noiton et al. 1992; Harada and Murai 1996) has also had a negative effect on micropropagation of woody forest tree species in vitro. In this section we have attempted to describe the trend of research activities during the last decade. However, a reproducible in vitro micropropagation protocol been demonstrated in only a few tree species.

Micropropagation without an intervening callus phase is advantageous over conventional vegetation propagation in terms of quantity, quality and economics (Altmann and Loberant 1998). In general three modes of in vitro plant regeneration have been in practice, organogenesis, embryogenesis and axillary proliferation. The difference mainly matters when it relates to the genetic stability of the resulting micropropagated plants; the obvious option then would be axillary and adventitious shoot proliferation. In vitro micropropagation has proved in the recent past a means for supply of planting material for forestry (Ahuja 1993; Laximisita and Raghavaswamy 1998). The use of a protocol to promote axillary and apical shoot bud proliferation in vitro has been used for the propagation of forest tree species. Different basal media, plant growth

regulators, media additives and carbohydrate sources are being used to manipulate culture conditions in vitro for propagation of forest trees (Table 1). The plant growth regulators such as auxins (NAA:  $\alpha$ -napthalene acetic acid; NOA:  $\beta$ -napthoxy acetic acid; IAA: indole-3-acetic acid; IBA: indole-3-butyric acid; and GA3:gibberellic acid) and cytokinins (BA: 6-benzyladenine; KN: kinetin; CPPU: N -(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)- N -phenylurea; 2ip: 2-isopentenyl adenine; and TDZ: thiadiazuron and Zeatin) were used for multiple shoot induction in trees. It has become a necessity to standardize media formulations when dealing with woody forest tree species.

It is evident that there are few micropropagation protocols from mature tree explants. However, a recent report of successful micropropagation of 1,000-year-old field grown mature Taxus mairei tree is innovative and encouraging (Chang et al. 2001). Micropropagation of forest trees in vitro is not only a means for mass scale propagation of superior clones of tree species but it can be used for developing transgenic plants and conservation of germplasm through cryopreservation. Cryopreservation of white poplar (*Populus alba* L.) by one-step vitrification of shoot tips from in vitro-grown cold hardened stock plants has been achieved (Lambardi et al. 2000). Recently, an in vitro protocol based on axillary bud proliferation has been developed for mature female Mediterranean trees (Ceratonia siliqua) and a field trial has been established to study their agronomic behaviour (Romano et al. 2002). A protocol has been developed to induce adventitious shoot organogenesis from semi-mature and mature cotyledons lacking the embryonic axis of *Dalbergia sissoo* (Singh et al. 2002). Multiple shoots of Himalayan oaks were induced from intact embryos and cotyledonary nodes (Purohit et al. 2002). Recently, a method for adventitious shoot regeneration from leaf explants of micropropagated peach shoots has been developed (Gentile et al. 2002).

## Somatic embryogenesis / organogenesis from in vitro cultures of tree species

Different media such as MS, B5, WPM, mWPM, AE (Arnold and Erikksson 1981), LM (Litvay et al. 1985), and MCM (Bornman and Jansson 1981) have been used for the induction of somatic embryogenesis. Different plant growth regulators, such as 2,4-D (2, 4-dichloro phenoxyacetic acid) NAA, IAA, IBA, IPA (indole propanoic acid) BA, KN, TDZ, 2iP, Zeatin, CPPU, TIBA (tri-iodobenzoic acid) GA3, and ABA (abscisic acid) were used for callus induction, somatic embryo formation, shoot organogenesis and for the conversion of somatic embryos into plantlets (Table 2). In some cases somatic embryo formation occurred directly on the callus induction medium whereas in some cases different media and different hormones were needed for callus induction, somatic embryo formation, shoot organogenesis and plant conversion.

Different media additives such as PVP, coconut water (CW), CH (casein hydrosylate) and glutamine were used

for shoot organogenesis and for somatic embryogenesis. CW and ammonium chloride proved to be effective for embryo germination and plant formation in Sapindus mukorossi (Sinha et al. 2000). Addition of AgNO<sub>3</sub> and fructose enhanced somatic embryogenesis in Coffea canephora (Fuentes et al.2000). Immature zygotic embryos were cultured on MS medium supplemented with B5 vitamins and 2, 4-D produced embryogenic cultures. Somatic embryos were also produced on MS medium containing NAA, KN, and glutamine in *Litchi* (Yu et al. 2000). Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from leaf tissues of Jojoba have been obtained on medium supplemented with 2,4-D, BA and or synthetic cytokinins such as N -(2-chloro-4 pyridyl)- N -phenyl urea or [E]-6-[3-(trifluromethyl)-but-2-cnylamino] purine (Hamama et al. 2001).

Recently, propagation of loblolly pine through direct somatic embryogenesis from mature cotyledons has also been reported as a two step procedure for high frequency multiple shoot production (Tang and Guo 2001). Direct somatic embryogenesis from zygotic embryos of a timber yielding leguminous tree Hardwickia binata has been reported for high frequency somatic embryogenesis and subsequent conversion (Chand and Singh 2001). Using cotyledon explants with high concentrations of picloram or IBA somatic embryos have been produced from in vitro cultures of *Eucalyptus globulus* (Nugent et al. 2001). In a recent finding minute concentrations of salicylic acid promoted cellular growth and enhanced somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea arabica* (Quiroz-Figueroa et al. 2001). Automation may enhance the use of somatic embryos for commercialization of this pathway of micropropagation. Recently techniques related to automation of different processes such as evaluation of embryogenic cultures, embryo development, harvesting and conversion have been studied (Ibaraki and Kurata 2001). Automation can enhance commercial prospects of somatic embryogenesis, however, certain limitations may hinder the process. It has recently been found that the morphological variability within a population of Coffea somatic embryos produced in a bioreactor affects the regeneration and the performance of plants in the nursery (Barry-Etienne et al. 2002). Therefore, more parameters and factors need to be addressed to develop an efficient protocol for regeneration of tree species.

Plant embryogenesis is a very complicated and simultaneously an organized process. Recently, the molecular basis of somatic embryogenesis and isolation and cloning of embryogenesis associated cDNAs from somatic embryos of *Picea glauca* have been compared with zygotic embryogenesis (Dong and Dunstan 1999). There is a need to understand the genetic basis of somatic embryogenesis. Recently, random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis has indicated a polymorphism between the genome of individual species that were capable of embryogenesis and those were not. Two specific polymorphic bands have been found that can be correlated to a gene for embryogenesis by cloning and sequencing of marker bands (Imani et al. 2001).

**Table 2** Somatic embryogenesis/organogenesis and plant regeneration from in vitro cultures of important tree species. (A Anther, AA Ascorbic acid, AC Activated charcoal, Adb Adventitious bud, Ade Adenine, AdE Adventitious embryos, Apib Apical bud, Axib Axillary bud, BN Bourgin and Nitsch (1967) medium, C Callus, CA Caffeic acid, Cag Cell aggregates, CE/MH1 Carron and Enjalric medium, CH Casein hydrosylate, Cot Cotyledon, CPPU N-(2-Chloro-4-pyridyl)-N-phenylurea, CSE Cotyledonary somatic embryo, CW Coconut water, DCR Gupta and Durzan medium, DE Developing embryo, E Embryo, EA Embryonic axis, EC Embryogenic callus, EP Embryogenic performance, ES Embryogenic suspension, ESM Embryogenic suspension masses, ESPt Embryogenic suspension protoplast, Ger Germination, Glu Glutamine, GSE Globular somatic embryo, GS Globular structures, H Hypocotyl, ImCot Immature cotyledon, ImS Immature seeds, Intg Integument,

KM Kao and Michayluk (1975) medium, L Leaf, LH Lactalbumin hydrosylate, LM Litvay's et al. (1985) medium, LP/QP Quoirin and Lepoivre (1977) medium, M4E/PCM modified B5, mBL Witham medium, MeSo Merkle and Sommer medium, MiSp Microspores, mMCM Bornman and Jansson (1981) medium, MSE Mature somatic embryo, MT Murashige and Tucker (1969) medium, N Nitsch (1969) medium, NS Nodal segment, Nuc Nucellus, O Ovule, Pro Proline, ProE Proembryos, ProEM Proembryogenic masses, Pt Protoplast, PtC Protoplast derived callus, PtGC Protoplast derived globular callus, PVP Polyvinyl pyrrolidine, R Root, S Stem, SE Somatic embryo, Sho shoot, SL Seedling, Som Sommer et al. (1975) medium, SSE Secondary somatic embryo, StIn Staminate inflorescence, Su Sucrose, Sus Suspension, SusC Suspension derived callus, ZE Zygotic embryo)

Plant species	Explant	Media	PGR	Media additives	Culture response	Plant conversion	References
Abis alba	ZE	mMCM	BA 0.5 mg/l,	-	ESM	-	Hristoforroglu et al.
	ESM ESMCL	mMCM mMCM	Kn 0.5 mg/l 0.4 mg/l 2,4-D 0.4 mg/l 2,4-D	500–1,000.0 mg/l	ESMCL GSE	- -	1995
	GSE	mMCM		CH, 500.0 mg/l Glu, 40.0 g/l Su, 10.0 g/l AC	MSE	-	
	MSE	mMCM	5.2 mg/l ABA	18.0 g/l maltose	-	Yes	
Acacia catechu	ImCot	WPM	3.0 mg/l KN 0.5 mg/l NAA	0.4 g/l Pro	SE	-	Rout et al. 1995
	SE	1/2 MS	-	2% Su	-	Yes	
Aegle marmelos	ZE	MS	0.22 mg/l 2,4-D 0.22 mg/l BA	20% CM	SE	-	Islam et al. 1996
	SE	1/2MS	0.22 mg/l BA			Yes	
A. marmelos	EA	MS	KN 1–2.0 mg/l IAA 0.1 mg/l	-	Sho	-	Islam et al. 1995
	Sho	MS	IBA 1.0 mg/l	-	R	Yes	
Albizia julibrissin	О	MS	10.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	PE	-	Burns and Wetzstein 1998
	PEM	FN	10.0 mg/l 2,4-D	1.4 g/l Glu	EP	-	1,,,0
	EP CSE	MS MS	-	-	CSE -	Yes	
Azadirachta indica	A	NB	1.75 mg/l IAA,	-	C	-	Gautam et al. 1993
	C	MS	0.25 mg/l BA 1.0 mg/l BA,	DVD 0.10 //	Sho		
	Sho A	MS MS	0.1 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l IBA IAA 1.75 mg/l,	PVP 0.18 g/l PVP 0.18 g/l	R C	Yes Yes	
	C	MS	BA 0.22 mg/l NAA 0.09 mg/l, BA 1.0 mg/l	-	Sho		
Azadirachta indica A	Cot, H	MS	0.5 mg/l NAA, 1.0 mg/l BA	1 g/l CH 50.0 g/l Su	EC	-	Su et al. 1997
marca 11	EC	MS	0.5 mg/l IAA	50.0 g/l Su	GS	_	
	GS	MS	0.2 mg/l zeatin	50.0 g/l Su	SE	Ē.	
	SE	1/2 MS	-	10.0 g/l Su	-	Yes	*** ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Betula platyphylla	Pt	1/2MS	0.18 mg/l PU, 0.18 mg/l NAA	0.1 g/l mannitol 0.3 g/l Su	PtGC	-	Watika et al. 1996
	PtGC	1/2 MS	0.18 mg/l PU 2.2 mg/l zeatin	-	Sho	-	
	Sho	MS	0.5 mg/l IBA 1.0 mg/l NAA	-	-	Yes	
Coffea arabica	Intg	MS	1.0 mg/l TIBA	50.0 mg/l cysteine	C	-	Sreenath et al. 1995
	C	mMS	0.2 mg/l 2,4-D,	100.0 mg/l PVP	SE	-	
	SE	MS	2.5 mg/l 2ip 1.0 mg/l ABA	-	MSE		
	MSE	1/2MS	0.1 mg/l KN	-	-	Yes	
C. canephora	L	MS	0.5 mg/l 2,4-D 0.5 mg/l IBA	-	C	-	Berthouly and Michau-Ferriere 1996
	С	MS	2.0 mg/l 2ip 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D 4.0 mg/l BA	-	SE	-	

Table 2 (continued)

Plant species	Explant	Media	PGR	Media additives	Culture response	Plant conversion	References
Castanea dentata	0	WPM	4.0 mg/l 2,4-D, 0.25 mg/l BA	1.0 g/l CH N vitamins	ProEM	-	Xing et al. 1999 -
	ProEM	В5	0.1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l NAA	20.0 g/l Su	CSE	-	
Ceratozamia hildae	Pinnae	mB5	0.25 mg/l KN 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	60.0 g/l Su 0.4 g/l Glu 0.1 g/l arginine 0.1 g/l aspargine	SE	-	Litz et al. 1995
Cupressus sempervirens	ZE	DCR	1.0 mg/l NAA 1.12 mg/l BA	-	EC	-	Lambardi et al. 1995
	ZE Adb	1/2 QP 1/2 QP	2.25 mg/l BA	-	Adb Sho	- Yes	
Dalbergia latifolia	L	MS MS	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D 5.0 mg/l NAA	CW 10%	C	-	Laximisita and Raghavaswamy 1993
	C	MS, WPM	1.0mg/l BA 5.0 mg/l BA 0.5 mg/l NAA	-	Sho	-	
	Sho	mWPM	2.0 mg/l IBA	-	R	Yes	
D. latifolia	Н	MS	2.0 mg/l NAA, 0.5 mg/l BA	10% CW	C	-	Pradhan et al. 1998
	С	MS	2.0 mg/l NAA, 0.5 mg/l BA	10% CW	Sus	-	
	SusC	MS	0.5 mg/l BA 3.0 mg/l BA	-	Sho	-	
	Sho	1/2 MS	1.0 mg/l IAA, 1.0 mg/l IBA, 1.0 mg/l IPA	-	R	Yes	
D. sissoo	ZE I	MS	0.1–0.25 mg/l KN 1.5–2.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	SE	-	Das et al. 1997
	SE	1/2 MS	0.5 mg/l ABA	2% Su	Ger	-	
Encephalartos	ZE	mB5	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	C	-	0
natalensis	C	mB5	1.0 mg/l KN 0.5 mg/l 2,4-D 1.0 mg/l KN	-	SE	-	
E. dyerianus	ZE	mB5	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	C	-	
		C	1.0 mg/l KN 0.5 mg/l 2,4-D 1.0 mg/l KN	-	SE	-	Jager and Staden (1996)
Eucalyptus dunnii	SL	В5	3.6 mg/l NAA 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	1.0 g/l CH	EC	-	Termignoni et al. 1996
	SL	MS	3.0 mg/l NAA 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D		EC		
	EC	B5	- mg/1 2,7 D	1.0 g/l CH,	SE	-	
	EC	MS	-	10%CW	SE	-	
Feronia limonia	Cot	MS	1.0 mg/l BA	-	Sho	-	Hossain et al. 1994
	Sho	1/2 MS	1.0 mg/l KN 0.1 mg/l IAA, 0.1 mg/l IBA	-	R	Yes	
Gingko biloba	Misp	BN	2.0 mg/l IAA, 0.2 mg/l KN	-	EC	-	Laurain et al. 1993
G. biloba	ZE	MT	2.25 mg/l BA	-	SE	-	Laurain et al. 1996
Gnetum ula	ZE	MS	5.0 mg/l BA	-	EC	-	Augustine and D'Souza 1997
	EC	1/2 MS	-	2.5 g/l CH 0.5 g/l Glu 20.0 g/lSu	SE	-	
	SE	1/2 MS	10 mg/l ABA	60.0 g/l Su	MSE	-	
Hardwickia binata	Cot	mMS	1.0 mg/l KN 1.0 mg/l NAA	-	EC	-	Das et al. 1995
	EC SE	MS 1/2 MS	0.02 mg/l GA3 0.25 mg/l IBA	300.0 mg/l Glu 2% Su	SE MSE	-	
H. binata	ZE SE	MS MS	0.5 mg/l 0.03 mg/l NAA 0.5 mg/l BA 0.5 mg/l ABA	2,4-D -	SE	SE -	Chand and Singh 200

Table 2 (continued)

Plant species	Explant	Media	PGR	Media additives	Culture response	Plant conversion	References	
Hevea brasiliensis	IS	MH1	2.0 mg/l 3,4-D 2.0 mg/l BA	-	EC	-	Veisseire et al. 1994	
	EC	MH1	0.26 mg/l ABA	-	DE	-		
H. brasiliensis	A	mMS	2 mg/l 2,4-D 0.5 mg/l KN	-	C	-	Jayasree et al. 1999	
	C	MS	0.7 mg/l KN 0.2 mg/l NAA	-	SE	-		
	SE	MS	-	-	-	Yes		
Hevea brasiliensis	ImS	CE	-	84.0 g/l maltose	SE	-	Blanc et al. 1999	
Juglans nigra	Cot	WPM	1.0 mg/l TDZ 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	1.0 g/l CH 30.0 g/lSu	SE	-	Neuman et al. 1993	
<i>Liriodendron</i> chinense ×	ZE, End	MrSo	2.0 mg/l 2,4-D 0.25 mg/l BA	1.0 g/l CH 40.0 g/lsu	ProEM/SE	-	Merkle et al. 1993	
L. tulipifera	ProEM/SE	,	2.0 mg/l 2,4-D, 0.25 mg/l BA	- +0.0 g/isu	Ger	Yes		
Larix leptolepis	ZE	LP	0.25 mg/l BA 1.0 mg/l BA	1.85 g/l Glu 20.0 g/l Su	EC	-	Kim et al. 1999	
	EC	1/2 LM	1.0 mg/l BA	1.22 g/l Glu 20.0 g/l Su	EC	-		
	EC SE	1/2 LM 1/2 LM	1.0 mg/l BA	20.0 g/l Su 20.0 g/l Su	SE -	- Yes		
Litchi chinensis	Pt	MS	1.0 mg/l KN	500.0 mg/l Glu	SE	-	Yu et al. 2000	
	SE	mMS	0.1 mg/l NAA	80.0 g/l Su 500.0 mg/l Glu, 5% CW	MSE	-		
	MSE	mMS	1.0 mg/l KN	5% Su,5%CW	Ger	Yes		
Liquidambar styraciflua	StIn	mBL	5.0 mg/l GA3 1.0 mg/l NAA	1.0 g/l CH	SE	-	Merkle and Battle 2000	
Malus × domestica	Pt	MS	0.5 mg/l BA 0.5 mg/l NAA	-	PtC	-	Perales and Schieder 1993	
	PtC	MS	0.5 mg/l 2,4-D 2.5 mg/l TDZ 0.75 mg/l NAA	50.0 mg/l CH	Sho	-		
	Sho	MS	2.5 mg/l IBA	-	R	Yes		
Malus × domestica	L, Cot	MS	2.0 mg/l 2,4-D 1.0 mg/l BA	-	С	-	Ai-Ping et al. 1995	
	C	MS	2.0 mg/l 2,4-D, 1.0 mg/l BA	2.0 mg/l AA 100.0 mg/l LH	Sus	-		
	Pt	MS	2.0 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l BA	-	PtC	-		
	PtC	MS	0.1 mg/l IAA 1 mg/l BA or	20.0 mg/l Ade 500.0 mg/l LH	Sho	-		
	Sho	MS	1–2.0 mg/l TDZ 0.8–1.0 mg/l IBA	-	R	Yes		
Malus × domestica		KM8P	0.1 mg/l IAA, 1.0 mg/l ABA 2.0 mg/l TDZ	-	Sho	Yes	Saito and Suzuki 1999	
Mangifera indica	Nu	mB5	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	EC	-	Litz et al. 1998	
M. indica	Nu	1/2 MS	0.88 mg/l 2,4-D 4.5 mg/l BA	6% Su	С	-	Laxmi et al. 1999	
	C SE	1/2 MS B5	4.5 mg/l BA 0.5 mg/l BA	-	SE			
			0.5 mg/l GA3	-	Ger	Yes		
M. indica	Nu	mB5	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	-	C	-	Ara et al. 2000b	
	C SE	mB5 1/2 B5	1.0 mg/l GA3	-	SE -	Yes		
Myrtus communis	ZE SE	MS MS	0.5 mg/l 2,4-D 0.1–0.5 mg/l GA <sub>3</sub>	-	SE Ger	Yes	Canhoto et al. 1999	
Octotea	ZE	mMS	0.2 mg/l BA 80 mg/l 2,4-D	0.3% AC	EC	-	Moura-Costa et al.	
catherinensis	EC	WPM	40.0 mg/l 2,4-D	2% Su 0.3% AC, 2% Su	SF	_	1993	
	SE		20.0 mg/l 2,4-D	0.3% AC, 2% Su 0.3% AC, 2% Su		-		
	SE	1/2 WPM		2% Su	-	Yes		

Table 2 (continued)

Plant species	Explant	Media	PGR	Media additives	Culture response	Plant conversion	References
Picea mariana	SE	1/2 LM	-	3.0 g/l Glu	MSE	Yes	Khlifi and Tremblay 1995
Pistacia vera	ESM	MS	4.0 mg/l BA	-	Ger	Yes	Onay et al. 2000
Prunus avium	ZE	MS	4.0 mg/l 2,4-D 2.0 mg/l KN	-	SE	-	March et al. 1993
P. avium	ZE	MS	0.2 mg/l KN 0.2 mg/l BA 0.1 mg/l NAA	-	SE	Yes	Garin et al. 1997
P. avium	ZE	MS	0.1 mg/l NAA 0.1 mg/l KN	0.25 g/l Glu 94.76 g/l maltose	EC	-	Talleux et al. 1999
	EC SE	MS WPM	2.6 mg/l ABA	15.0 g/l Suc 2.0 mg/l Glu	SE Ger	Yes	
Processis tamamas	Cot II	MC	2.0 mg/l NA A	2.0 mg/l Gly			Nandwani and Ra-
Prosopis tamarugo	Cot, H EA	MS MS	2.0 mg/l NAA 0.2 mg/lBA 5.0 mg/l BA	-	C C, Sho	-	mawat 1992
			1–2.5 mg/l BA	-	Sho	<u>-</u>	
	Sho	MS	3.0 mg/l IBA		R	Yes	
Quercus robur	L	MS	4.0 mg/l NAA, 0.5–1.0 mg/l BA	-	С	-	Cuenca et al. 1999
	C	MS	0.1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l NAA	-	C	-	
	C	MS	-	-	SE	-	
Sapindus mukorossi	SE	1/2 MS MS	0.5 mg/l KN	- 15%CW	Ger C	Yes	Sinha et al. 2000
sapinaus mukorossi	C	mMS	2.0 mg/l KN	10% CW	SE	Yes	Sillia et al. 2000
Simarouba glauca	ImCot	mMS	2.5 mg/l BA, 1.5 mg/l NAA	600.0 mg/l Glu or +600.0 mg/l Pro	SE	-	Rout and Das 1994b
	SE	1/2 MS	0.5 mg/l ABA	2% Su	-	Yes	
S. glauca	Cot	mMS	2.5 mg/l BA 1.5 mg/l NAA	0.25 mg/lAA	C	Yes	Rout and Das 1994c
	С	MS	2.5 mg/l BA, 1.5 mg/l NAA	0.25 mg/lAA	SE		
	SE	1/2 MS	<i>G</i> .	2% Su	Ger	Yes	
Sesbania spp.	H	MS	0.05-1.0 mg/l IBA 1.0-2.0 mg/l BA	-	C, Sho	-	Yan-Xiu et al. 1993
a	Sho	MS	1.0 mg/l IBA	-	R	Yes	D 111 17
S. album	NS	MS	0.2 mg/l TDZ, 1.05 mg/l IAA 0.2 mg/l KN	-	SE	-	Ragkhla and Jones 1998
	SE	MS	2.07 mg/l GA3	-	SE	Yes	
S. spicatum	L	MS	0.2 mg/l TDZ, 3 2.07 mg/l GA3	0.5 g/l Glu 150.0 mg/l CH	SE	Yes	Ragkhla and Jones 1998
	SE	MS	2.07 mg/l	5%CW	Ger	Yes	
Terminalia arjuna	L	MS	5.0 mg/l 2,4-D 0.01 mg/l Kn	-	C, GS	-	Kumari et al. 1998
	C, GS	MS	-	-	SE	Yes	C1 1 77
Thevetia peruviana		MS	2.0 mg/l 2,4-D 0.1 mg/l KN	-	C	-	Sharma and Kumar 1994
	C Cellag	MS MS	1.0 mg/l 2,4-D 0.1 mg/l KN 0.1 mg/l 2,4-D	_	Sus DE	-	
	DE	MS	2.0 mg/l 2IP	-	SE	-	
	SE	1/2 MS	-	-	-	Yes	
Tectona grandis	Apib	MS	1.0 mg/l BA 0.01 mg/l NAA	-	C, SE	-	Kushalkar and Sharon 1996
	С	MS	0.1 mg/l BA 0.1 mg/l NAA	-	SE	-	
	Axib	1/2 IMS	1.0 mg/l BA 1.5 mg/l 2iP	-	SE	-	

**Table 3** Genetic transformation using selectable marker and reporter genes in tree species. (Ag Agrobacterium -mediated, ALS Acetolactate synthase, Bt Endotoxin of Bacillus thuringiensis, C Callus, Cat Chloramphenicol acetyle transferase, Cp Coat protein, Ct Cotyledon, E Embryo, EC Embryogenic callus, ESM Embryonal suspensor masses, Et Embryogenic tissue, H Hypocotyle, hpt Hygromycine phosphotransferase, ImE Immature embryo, IN Internode, ISE Immature somatic embryo, IZE Immature zygotic embryo, L Leaf, LDM Leaf disc method, LPt Leaf protoplast, ME Mature embryo, MPB Microprojectile bombardment, MSE Mature

somatic embryo, MZE Mature zygotic embryo, N nodule, nptII Neomycin phosphotransferase II, P Petiole, Pat Phosphinothricin acetyle transferase, PEG Polyethyleneglycol, Pot Pollen tube, Pt Protoplast, rol-A Root inducing loci A, RSV-F Human respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein, S Stem, SAMase S-adenocyl methionine hydrolase, SE Somatic embryo, SE Seedlings, SE Transgenic callus, SE Transgenic cotyledon, SE Transgenic embryogenic callus, SE Transgenic pollen tube, SE Transgenic shoot, SE Transgenic somatic embryo, SE UidA SE UidA-SE-glucorinidase)

Plant species	Nature of tissue	Transformation method	Genes transferred	Transgenic plants	References
Acacia mangium	S	Ag	UidA, nptII	TS	Xie and Hong 2002
Allocasurina Verticillata	H	Ag	UidA, nptII	Yes	Franche et al. 1999
Betula platiphylla	L	Ag	nptII, UidA	Yes	Mohri et al. 1997
Castenia sativa	Н	Ag	nptII, UidA	Yes	Seabra and Pais 1998
Coffea canefphora	L	Ag	UidA, hpt	Yes	Hatanaka et al. 1999
Eucalyptus camaldulensis	L	Ag	nptII, ŪidA	C	Mullins et al. 1997
E. camaldulensis	H	Ag	nptII, UidA	Yes	Ho et al. 1998
Fragaria × ananassa	L	Ag	ŪidA, nptII	Yes	Barcelo et al. 1998
Hevea braziliensis	C	MPB	UidA, nptII	TSE	Arokiaraj et al. 1994
			Cat	TEC	-
Larix kaempferix, L. decidua	MSE	Ag	nptII	Yes	Levee et al. 1997
Malus × domestica	L	Ag	ÜidA	TC	James et al. 1993
$Malus \times domestica$	L, Cot	Ag	UidA	TC	Bondt et al. 1994
Malus x domestica	Cot	Ag	UidA, nptII	TC	Bondt et al. 1996
Malus × domestica	L	Ag	rol A	Yes	Holefors et al. 1998
$Malus \times domestica$	LPt	PĒG	RSV- $F$	Yes	Sandhu et al. 1999
$Malus \times domestica$	Pot	Ag	UidA, nptII	Yes	Yao et al. 1999
$Malus \times domestica$	L	Ag	MB39	Yes	Liu et al. 2001
Picea glauca	SE	MPB	nptII, UidA	SE	Bommineni et al. 1993
P. mariana	ImE	MPB	nptII, UidA	TSE	Bommineni et al. 1994
P. mariana	SE	MPB	hpt, UidA	Yes	Tian et al. 2000
P.sitchensis	ImE, ME	Ag	UidA	ESM	Drake et al. 1997a
P. abies	ImE, ME	Ag	bar, nptII	ESM	Bishop-Harley et al. 2001
Pinus sylvestris	Cot, EC	MPB	nptII, UidA	Yes	Haggman and Aronen 1998
P. pinea	E	Ag	UidA	TC	Humara et al. 1999
P. pinea	E, Cot	Ag	UidA	TC	Humara et al. 1999
P.aristata, P. griffithii, P. monticola	Pt	MPB	UidA	TPT	Fernando et al. 2000
P. radiata	Et	MPB	Bar, nptII, UidA	Yes	Bishop-Harley et al. 2001
Populus nigra	L	Ag	UidA, nptII	Yes	Confalonieri et al. 1994
P. deltoides $\times$ P. $\times$ euramericana	L	Ag	UidA, nptII	Yes	Confalonieri et al. 1997
Prunus amygdalus	L	Ag	UidA, nptII	TC	Archilletti et al. 1995
P. subhirtella	SE	Ag	UidA	Yes	Machado et al. 1995
P. dulcis	L	Ag	nptII, UidA, AL		Yao et al. 1999
P. dulcis	L	Ag	nptII, UidA	Yes	Miguel and Oliveira 1999
Rubus ideaus	L, P	Ag	SAMase	Yes	Mathews et al. 1995
Ulmus comprestres	IN	Ag	T-DNA	Yes	Dorion et al. 1995

#### **Genetic transformation studies**

Recent advances in the genetic transformation of forest trees have made it possible to transfer chimeric genes of academic and agronomic importance to the genome of recipient species. It is anticipated that this technology may help to circumvent some of the limitations of classical breeding programmes associated with forest tree improvement. The pace at which literature on genetic transformation is being generated makes it difficult to summarize. Research progress towards standardized genetic transformation protocols and transgenic tree production is outlined in Table 3.

Until recently trees were considered to be recalcitrant material for genetic transformation studies involving molecular techniques. The main obstacle for genetic transformation of trees is the regeneration of transformed plantlets. In addition to the research progress assessment, it has been found that *Agrobacterium* based genetic transformation is the main method used for developing transgenic trees. Further regeneration of plants from single cells is a requisite for Ag-mediated gene transfer to achieve homogenetically transformed plants.

Choice of explants having competence for transformation and regeneration is a crucial factor. At this point in time efficient tissue culture techniques become the foundation for genetic transformation studies. In addition to regeneration through organogenesis, somatic embryogenesis definitely offers the advantage of single cell regeneration and currently appears to be the most promising approach to introduce new genes into woody tree species.

### Some of the recent novel approaches for genetic improvement of tree

The long generation period of tree species is one of the limiting factors for genetic improvement and study of mature traits. However, by taking advantage of recent developments in genetic engineering the time required to produce a new tree variety could be reduced significantly. Some of the novel biotechnological approaches used recently for the genetic improvement of trees are as follows.

### Reduction in the reproductive cycle of tree

The 30–40 years period prior to the onset of reproductive phase in some trees is a constraint to plant breeding. If traits could be identified and manipulated to enable flowering to be induced at will, the fixing of beneficial recessive mutations, and introgression/back crossing to increase rare alleles in breeding populations could become realities. Several Arabidopsis homeotic genes that are involved in flower initiation induce early flowering when expressed ectopically in transgenic plants. It has been well established that the homeotic genes are involved in flower initiation. Recently, it has been demonstrated that early flowering can be induced when homeotic genes are expressed in transgenic plants (Mandel and Yonofsky 1995). Flowering in aspen is generally observed after 8–20 years. One of these genes (LFY) has been expressed in transgenic aspen and was able to produce flowers after 7 months of vegetative growth (Weigel and Nilsson 1995; Pena and Seguin 2001). A study of the diverse effects of over expression of the LFY gene has also been reported (Rottmann et al. 2000). Recently some of the promising findings have opened up the possibility of a reduction in generation time thus accelerating the genetic improvement programme in citrus (Pena et al. 2001). This approach can be extended to other economically important trees. A reduction of generation time in trees will open up several benefits with multiple applications; benefits include early fruit production, reduction of economic loss due to graft transmissible diseases, accelerated evaluation of mature agronomic traits and genetic improvement programmes using modern molecular methods of marker assisted selection. In addition six new genes that play key roles in flower development have recently been isolated and tested in transgenic plants. They will be useful for engineering male and female sterile trees, facilitating regulatory and public approval of transgenic plantations (Strauss and Scott 2002).

Plant hormone modification to change tree architecture and performance

Recently there have been attempts to use hormone biosynthetic genes to alter tree size, morphology and

performance. Plant growth regulators play an important role in growth and development and they also affect formation of wood (Little and Savidge 1987). Thus the manipulation of plant hormone synthesis in trees looks very interesting. Some progress has been made using T-DNA auxin and cytokinin biosynthetic genes from A. tumefaciens and A. rhizogenes. It has been demonstrated that the manipulation IAA levels by the over expression of iaaM and iaaH A. tumefaciens T-DNA in Populus can change growth, development and wood formation (Tuominen et al. 1995). Over expression of these genes in the transgenic plants brings about alterations in growth pattern and wood properties (Tzfira et al. 1998). Leaf explants were transformed with Agrobacterium containing rol A gene and transgenic tissues developed into transgenic plants in apple root stock M26 (Holefors et al.1998). It would be of commercial interest to introduce genes to make M26 dwarf without affecting rooting ability. This study examines if the introduction of the rol A gene in the apple rootstock M26 could reduce the shoot growth without changing rooting performance. The over expression of GA-20 oxidase gene from Arabidopsis in hybrid aspen has shown altered phenotype such as faster growth in height, girth, larger leaves, larger xylem fibbers and increased biomass. These findings not only open up tree architecture but also wood quality opportunities (Eriksson et al. 2000). This approach may have a direct application in fruit crops. Down regulation of GA-20oxidase in transgenic fruit trees could generate plant types with reduced height. This will allow higher planting density, mechanical fruit harvesting and easier management with reduced labour costs.

### Manipulation of lignin and cellulose content

In the genetic improvement of trees, increased volume of wood and enhancement of the wood properties are obvious targets. The transgenic approach has recently been used to manipulate wood quantity and quality in trees. These studies involve alteration of the chemical composition of cell walls affecting the quantity or quality of lignins in the wood. Xylem cells, which make up wood, have highly lignified cell walls. Lignin functions as an inter- and intra-molecular glue, which interlinks various cell wall components (Campbell and Sederoff 1996). Tree material with reduced lignin content used for pulp and paper making is highly desirable from both an economic and an environmental point of view. As predicted the lignins from these plants were more readily removed and the plants could be useful in the pulping process resulting in the removal of more ligning under less harsh conditions (Franke et al. 2000). Recently field trails using transgenic poplar with antisense CAD transgene shows that the benefits could be realised with no apparent environmental impact (Pilate et al. 2002). Genetic manipulation has also been used to enhance wood properties other than that related to wood chemistry. Separation of lignin from wood fibers is a costly affair during pulp and paper

production and also generates paper industry related pollutants. Lignin, an abundantly available organic compound, constitutes 15–35% of the dry weight of trees. Lignins are an aromatic polymer that originate from the oxidative polymerization of three precursors. Due to the existence of different precursors chemical diversity is evident in angiosperm and gymnosperm lignin.

Despite the complexity of the lignin biosynthetic pathway transgenic trees with reduced or modified lignin content have been produced. Antisense regulation of 4coumarate-CoA ligase (4CL) and cynamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD) are involved. Reduction of lignin content of up to 45% was observed with increased growth rates (Hu et al. 1999). Down-regulation of O-methyl transferase (OMT) in hybrid poplar has revealed the possibility of modified lignin and increased pulp yield (Jouanin et al. 2000). Changes in lignin quality/quantity are becoming important aspects of forest tree breeding programmes. Recently molecular studies on QTLs in Eucalyptus have been developed with PCR-based markers for characterizing wood quality, rooting ability and vigour (Gion et al. 2000). The combination of genetic engineering and molecular marker techniques will accelerate the process for the genetic modification of trees for wood and timber quality. Recently, the isolation and characterization of a Pinus radiata lignin biosynthesis-related Omethyl transferase promoter has indicated that the control of lignin-related gene expression is conserved and can be compared to distantly related species such as tobacco and pine (Moyle et al. 2002).

Further identification of the genes that control wood formation could be a prerequisite for future transgenic tree strategies aimed at altering the rate of wood biogenesis and properties of wood. Towards this objective, the characterization of "wood transcriptome" is now in progress for several tree species, with expressed sequence tags (EST) available in the late 1990s in Populus (Sterky et al. 1998) and Pinus (Allona et al. 1998). Preliminary reports indicate that between 1,000 and 3,000 ESTs have been generated by randomly sequencing clones from cDNA libraries constructed from mRNA of differentiating xylem of these species. Currently more than 100,000 ESTs have been generated for Populus and 70,000 ESTs for Pinus and Quercus species; Eucalyptus has also been included in the research programme (Campbell et al. 2003).

### Development of transgenic tree resistance to insect and diseases

Trees grow in most harsh environments. Due to their distribution in diverse climatic ecosystems many are attacked by pests and diseases. Significant loss caused by defoliating insects occurs in various tree species. This damage leads to reduction of growth and even death. In the long run it affects tree shape and fruit quality. The Bt endotoxin from *Bacillus thurigiensis* has been used successfully in commercial crop production for control-

ling insect pests. One of the limitations with trees is that vast areas have to be covered for the control of the insect attack. As with crop plants there is a need to express the Bt endotoxin in trees. Populus plants have been transformed using electric discharge particle acceleration of protoplasts and 18.7 kb plasmid containing nptII, gus and Bt gene have been transferred (McCown et al.1991). Transformed plants containing all three genes were recovered and analyzed. The incorporation of Bt type pest resistance into Populus germplasm may be a powerful adjunct to poplar where other lepidopteran pests are potential threats. Further, Bt toxin gene has been expressed in apple, poplar, spruce, and larch and reduction in the larval feeding on transgenic material was observed (Schuler et al. 1998; Tzfira et al. 1998). Increased larval mortality was observed with transgenic walnut (Dandekar et al. 1998).

Manipulation of production levels of natural products for increasing resistance to insect pests through genetic engineering to study tree-pest interactions has been undertaken. One of the candidate natural products is a proteinase inhibitor that affects the digestive enzymes of insects and can be an alternative to the use of Bt toxin genes. Over expression of proteinase inhibitor gene in transgenic poplar has been demonstrated. A novel Arabidopsis thaliana cystine protease inhibitor gene over expressed and pest resistance was studied (Delldonne et al. 2001). Transgenic plants of Prunus americana conferring coat protein gene of plum pox virus have been developed. The plum pox virus (ppv) is one of the most important pathogens of stone fruit trees in Europe and the Mediterranean area. This is the first report where the coat protein gene of ppv has been successfully integrated into the fruit tree species genome and this finding has opened up avenues for the control of this disease. Recently high levels of resistance against the ppv through, the post -transcriptional coat protein gene silencing has been found (Scorza et al. 2001). Woody internodes have been transformed using Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer in elm (Dorion et al. 1995). Four wild strains of A. tumefaciens were either inoculated on shoots or co-cultivated with internodes. This study was a preliminary attempt to assess the susceptibility of elm to A. tumefaciens. Keeping in view the failure of developing resistant elm to Dutch Elm Disease (DED) by conventional breeding methods the transgenic approach may be helpful for transferring agronomically useful traits.

Recently, the world's first genetically modified elm tree resistant to Dutch elm disease has been developed by a team from the University of Albertay Dundee. This finding could lead to the reintroduction into their natural habitat of elm trees resistant to the Dutch elm disease. The English elm, *Ulmus procera*, is highly valued both for its environmental benefits, especially in urban situations, and timber quality. This valuable plant has been the victim of a highly virulent fungal pathogen. The fungus, *Ophiostoma ulmi*, has caused the death of around 25 million elms in Britain alone. Traditional breeding programmes and antifungal chemical activities met with

only limited success due to the complex disease cycle. The University of Albertay workers have transferred an anti-fungal gene into the elm genome that could resist the killer DED fungus. Some of the transgenic elms have been grown in field conditions. There have been attempts to engineer trees conferring resistance to fungal and bacterial diseases by the expression of antifungal and anti bacterial proteins of distant plant origin. Fire blight disease in trees caused by the bacteria can now be controlled by developing transgenics (Reynoird et al. 1999). Recently transgenic apple conferring resistance to apple scab has been developed by expressing antifungal endochitinase isolated from mycoparasitic fungus (Bolar et al. 2000). Increased fungal resistance has been obtained in hybrid poplar leaves by expressing a wheat oxalate oxidase gene (Liang et al. 2001). MB39, a modified cecropin SB 37 gene derived from barley  $\alpha$ -amylase placed under the control of a wound inducible promoter from tobacco, has been transferred to apple (Liu et al. 2000). Recently, a research group at the Tree Genetic Engineering Research Cooperative (TGERC), Oregon State University, generated 100 lines of transgenic aspens and cottonwoods that contain a synthetic gene from the cry3a strain of B. thuringiensis. All the lines showed strong resistance to the cottonwood leaf beetle, a devastating pest of poplars, and also enhanced growth rate (Strauss and Scott 2002).

Leaf protoplasts were transformed with RSV-F gene by the PEG method and transgenic plants were developed in apple (Sandhu et al.1999). Expression of the human respiratory syncytical virus fusion protein (F) is a step towards the exploitation of plants for expression of recombinant proteins of pharmaceutical value. This may lead to the development of transgenic plants able to deliver antigens in an edible vaccine form. Somatic embryos were used for micro projectile bombardment mediated transformation and transgenic somatic embryos were developed into transgenic plants in *Picea mariana* (Tian et al. 2000). The expression of gus gene in the needles of regenerated seedlings support the potential for long term transgene expression in spruce. In the conifer genetic engineering programme a gene conferring resistance to the herbicide buster has been transferred to *Pinus* radiata and Picea abies using the biolistic transformation procedure (Bishop-Harley et al. 2001). Genetically engineered herbicide resistance in conifers may help as a feasible option for plantation forestry. The TGERC group have reported 200 lines of transgenic aspen and cottonwoods showing high tolerance to the herbicide Roundup.

Genetically engineered decaffeinated coffee plants are becoming a reality, after the researchers cloned the gene that makes caffeine. The candidate gene is caffeine synthase that catalyzes the final step in the biosynthesis of caffeine. The next step is to switch off this gene and make the coffee plant naturally deficient in caffeine (Kato et al. 2000). Recently, another key enzyme in caffeine biosynthesis, 7-methylxanthine methyltransferase, has been isolated (Ogawa et al. 2001). Researchers at the University of Hawaii have created transgenic coffee plants that

make less caffeine. The new coffee plant has an antisense gene for the enzyme xanthosine-N7-methyl transferase, the first enzyme in the pathway of caffeine biosynthesis.

The use of plants to repair polluted environments is known as phytoremediation. Lands that are unused due to the presence of high concentrations of heavy metals due to urban and industrial activities can be improved by planting trees which can detoxify the heavy metals. Phytoremediation potentially allows the possibility of inexpensive clean up of polluted environments. These can be both aqueous and soil based and the pollutants can range from complex organic molecules to heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, and zinc. Trees are an ideal tool for phytoremediation because of their large biomass and longevity. Transgenic yellow poplar trees have been developed for mercury phytoremediation (Rugh et al. 1998). Several options can now be envisaged to use tree genetic engineering with diverse agronomic, economic and environmental benefits (Pena and Seguin 2001). Herbicide resistant crops have been one of the major products of the first generation of agricultural biotechnology. A number of reports are available in the literature concerning the transfer of herbicide resistant genes in tree species. The first transgenic woody species was reported in Populus was for herbicide resistance (Fillatti et al. 1987). Transgenic hybrid poplar with a reduced sensitivity to glyphosate was produced. Strauss et al. (1997) reported on the generation of transgenic poplar harbouring glyphosate degradation genes and EPSP synthase. Recently 2 years of field trails of aspen and hybrid cottonwoods have shown stable high levels of tolerance, and an absence of any growth penalty in any that are used commercially (Meilan et al. 2000). Recently, methods for characterization of transgenic trees have been developed. Multiple PCR combining transgene and S-allele control primers to simultaneously confirm cultivar identity and production of transgenic plants in apple has been reported (Broothaerts et al. 2001). This is particularly useful to overcome the problem of mislabelling of cultivars during sub-culturing and other laboratory and greenhouse operations.

### Conclusion

In woody tree species there has been tremendous advancement in in vitro culture research. In the last decade several protocols have been developed for in vitro micropropagation of woody tree species. The use of tissue culture methods as a micropropagation system for tree species is an established technology compared to traditional propagation systems. In these reports it is evident that it is possible to overcome some of the inherent problems of establishment of aseptic cultures and induction of axillary multiple shoots. However, very few protocols are available for micropropagation by using explants from elite mature trees in their natural habitat. More refinement in the protocols is essential in understanding the requirements for efficient regeneration

without the callus pathway that ensures genetic fidelity. The success obtained in micropropagation involving callus phase particularly via somatic embryogenesis has been encouraging. However, the basic understanding of the physiological mechanism involved has often been lacking. Recently, increased knowledge in the area of tree physiology has allowed propagation systems to be improved and for the main bottlenecks in particular ontogenetic aging and low conversion frequencies in somatic embryo systems to be overcome. Induction of somatic embryogenesis and their conversion to plants has been reported in a large number of tree species. Low induction frequency of somatic embryogenesis and the quick loss of embryogenic competence are two inherent problems with somatic embryogenesis. However, reports on the induction of somatic embryogenesis from mature tree explants are not yet available in sufficient numbers.

A method for the induction of somatic embryogenesis in adult trees would be a fundamental break-through. A method to induce somatic embryogenesis in adult material may arise with the help of molecular biology and new cell culture techniques. Nuclear transfer from adult cells to an embryonal environment, or the transformation with genes that induce embryogenesis could be two possible approaches. A candidate gene might be LEC2 from Arabidopsis thaliana that could be exploited (Stone et al. 2001). This aspect needs to be addressed. True to type plants via direct or indirect somatic embryogenesis may boost forest tree micropropagation. In recent times the genetic fidelity and variation of somatic embryo-derived seedlings and micropropagated plants have been tested using molecular markers (Shyama et al. 1997; Palombi and Damiano 2002).

In the past decade a number of research findings on evaluating transformation techniques in tree species have been reported. It has been found that Agrobacterium mediated transformation is used in most cases and marker genes have been transferred and a genetic transformation protocol has been standardized. Recent developments in transgenic trees can have multidirectional benefits. The benefits range from manipulating generation time, plant protection, wood quality, production of compounds of pharmaceutical value and improvements to polluted soils. These successes have shown avenues to include more agronomically useful genes for transfer to tree species as has been demonstrated in crop plants (Giri and Vijaya Laxmi 2000). From the global trend forestry biotechnology joint venture information there are now at least 24 tree species that have been subject to transgenic modification and released into the environment through field trials. Extensive lists of transgenic trees are being added day by day (WWF 2002). Recently, it has been emphasized that genetically modified trees can be excellent tools for physiological research (Herschbach and Kopriva 2002). The research work completed so far amply demonstrates the potential of these techniques in the improvement of forest tree species. Arabidopsis can be used as a model system in this molecular age of genetics and is exploited as a "proxy tree" for developing genetically modified trees. Trees have tremendous economic and ecological value, as well as unique biological properties of basic scientific interest. The inherent difficulties of experimenting on very large long-lived organisms motivate the development of model systems for forest trees. *Populus* trees (poplars, cottonwoods, aspens) have several advantages as a model system, including rapid growth, prolific sexual reproduction, ease of cloning, small genome, facile transgenesis, and tight coupling between physiological traits and biomass productivity. The poplar is the most worked on tree species in vitro. A combination of genetics and physiology is being used to understand the detailed mechanisms of forest tree growth and development.

One of the most important aspects of transgenic trees is the integration and expression of a gene. For long-lived tree species, new questions arise regarding the stability of integration and expression of foreign genes. Biosafety considerations, including the impact of transgene dispersion through pollen and unexpected effects on non target organisms, are now receiving attention. With recent research developments, molecular genetics provides tools that may allow genetic improvement to make up lost ground. Forest biotechnology has made a first phase impact. If current progress in tissue culture and genetic transformation combined with biotechnological applications continues the future may witness super tree species tailored for special agronomic and economic characteristics.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to acknowledge that the research for this publication was conducted as part of the programme Biotechnology for Dryland Agriculture in Andhra Pradesh with financial support of Ministry for Development Cooperation, The Netherlands.

#### References

Ahuja MR (1993) Micropropagation a la carte In: Ahuja MR (ed) Micropropagation of woody plants, forestry series, vol 41. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp 3–9

Ai-ping D, Hong-Fan W, Yu-Fen C (1995) Plant regeneration from cotyledon and cell suspension protoplasts of apple (*Malus* × domestica cv. starkrimson). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:145–149

Ajithkumar D, Seeni S (1998) Rapid clonal multiplication through in vitro axillary shoot proliferation of *Aegle marmelos* L. Corr., a medicinal tree. Plant Cell Rep 17:422–426

Allona I, Quinn M, Shoop E, Swope K, St Cyr S, Carlis J, Riedl J, Retzel E, Campbell MM, Sederoff RR, Whettern RW (1998) analysis of xylem formation in pine by cDNA sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:9693–9698

Altman A, Loberant B (1998) Micropropagation: clonal plant propagation in vitro. In: Altman A (ed) Agricultural biotechnology. Marker Dekker, New York, pp 19–42

Anuradha M, Pullaiah T (1999) In vitro seed culture and induction of enhanced axillary branching in *Pterocarpus santalinus* and *Pterocarpus marsupium*: a method for rapid multiplication. Phytomorphology 49:157–163

Ara H, Jaiswal U, Jaiswal VS (2000a) Plant regeneration from protoplasts of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) through somatic embryogenesis. Plant Cell Rep 19:622–627

- Ara H, Jaiswal U, Jaiswal VS (2000b) Somatic embryogenesis and plantlet regeneration in Amarapali and chausa cultivars of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). Curr Sci 78:164–169
- mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). Curr Sci 78:164–169 Archilletti T, Lauri P, Damiano C (1995) *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of almond leaf pieces. Plant Cell Rep 14:267–272
- Arnold SV, Eriksson T (1981) In vitro studies of adventitious shoot formation in *Pinus contorta*. Can J Bot 59:870–874
- Arockiasamy S, Ignacimuthu S, Melchias G (2000) Influence of growth regulators and explant type on in vitro shoot propagation and rooting of red sandal wood (*Pterocarpus santalinus* L.) Ind J Exp Biol 38:1270–1273
- Arokiaraj P, Jones H, Cheong KF, Coomber S, Charlwood BV (1994) Gene insertion on in to *Hevea brasiliensis*. Plant Cell Rep 13:425–431
- Attree SM, Fowke LC (1993) Embryogeny of gymnosperms: Advances in synthetic seed technology of conifers. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 35:1-35
- Attree SM, Pomeroy MK, Fowke LC (1994) Production of vigorous desiccation tolerant white spruce [ *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss.] synthetic seeds in a bioreactor. Plant Cell Rep 13:601–606
- Augustine AC, Souza LD (1997) Somatic embryogenesis in *Gnetum ula* Brongn. (*Gnetum edule*) (Willd) Blume. Plant Cell Rep 16:354–357
- Barcelo M, E-Mansouri I, Mercado JA, Quesada MA, Alfaro FP (1998) Regeneration and transformation via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* of the strawberry cultivar chandler. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 54:29–36
- Bargchi M, Alderson PG (1996) The control of shoot tip necrosis in *Pistacia vera* L in vitro. Plant Growth Regul 20:31–35
- Barker M, Pijut PM, Ostry ME, Houston DR (1997) Micropropagation of juvenile and mature American beech. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 51:209–213
- Barry-Etienne D, Bertrand B, Schlonvoigt A, Etienne H (2002) The morphological variability with in a population of coffee somatic embryos produced in a bio reactor affects the regeneration and the development of plants in the nursery. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 68:153–162
- Bastola B, Jasik J, Mantell S (2000) In vitro propagation of a Himalayan pine *P. wallichiana* A.B. Jacks. Curr Sci 78:338–341
- Berger K, Schaffner W (1995) In vitro propagation of the leguminous tree *Swartzia madagas cariensis*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:289–291
- Berthouly M, Michaux-Ferriere NM (1996) High frequency somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea canephora*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 44:169–176
- Bishop-Hurley SL, Zabkiewicz RK, Grace L, Gardner RC, Wagner A, Walter C (2001) Genetic transformation and hybridization: Conifer genetic engineering: transgenic *Pinus radiata* (D. Don) and *Picea abies* (Karst) plants are resistant to the herbicide Buster. Plant Cell Rep 20:235–243
- Blanc G, Michaux-Ferriere, Teisson C, Lardet L, Carron MP (1999) Effects of carbohydrate addition on the induction of somatic embryogenesis in *Hevea brasiliensis*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 59:103–112
- Blaydes DF (1966) Interaction of kinetin and various inhibitors in the growth of soybean tissue. Physiol Plant 19:748–753
- Boggetti B, Jasik J, Mantell S (1999) In vitro multiplication of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) using shoot node explants of glasshouse raised plants. Plant Cell Rep 18:456–461
- Bolar JP, Norelli JL, Wong KW, Hayes CK, Harman GE, Aldwinckle HS (2000) Expression of endochitinase from *Trichoderma harzianum* in transgenic apple increases resistance to apple scab and reduces vigor. Phytopathology 90:72–77
- Bommineni VR, Chibbar RN, Datla RSS, Tsang EWT (1993) Transformation of white spruce (*Picea glauca*) somatic embryos by microprojectile bombardment. Plant Cell Rep 13:17–23

- Bommineni VR, Datla RSS, Tsang EWT (1994) Expression of gus in somatic embryo cultures of black spruce after microprojectile bombardment. J Exp Biol 45:491–495
- Bondt AD, Eggermont K, Druart P, Vil MD, Goderis I, Vanderleyden J, Broekaert WF(1994) *Agrobacterium* -mediated transformation of apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.): an assessment of factors affecting gene transfer efficiency during early transformation steps. Plant Cell Rep 13:587–593
- Bondt AD, Eggermont K, Penninckx I, Goderis I, Broekaert WF (1996) *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.): an assessment of factors affecting regeneration of transgenic plants. Plant Cell Rep 15:549–554
- Bonneau L, Beranger-Novat N, Monin J (1994) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in a woody species: the European spindle tree (*Euonymus europaeus* L.). Plant Cell Rep 13:135–138
- Bornman CH, Jansson E (1981) Somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis of embryogenic explants of *Abies alba* and *Acer pseudoplatanus*. In: Ahuja MR (ed) Woody plant biotechnology. Plenum, New York, pp 201–203
- Bourgin JP, Nitsch JP (1967) Obtention de *Nicotiana* haploids a partir d'etamines cultivees in vitro. Ann Physiol Veg 9:377–382
- Bringmann G, Rischer H, Schlauer J, Assi LA (1999) In vitro propagation of *Ancistrocladus abbreviatus* Airy Shaw (Ancistrocladeceae). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 57:71–73
- Broothaerts W, Wiersma PA, Lane WD (2001) Genetics and Genomics: multiple PCR combining transgene and S allele control primers to simultaneously confirm cultivar identity and transformation in apple. Plant Cell Rep 20:349–353
- Burns JA, Wetzstein HY (1998) Embryogenic cultures of the leguminous tree *Albizia julibrissin* and recovery of plants. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 54:55–59
- Caboni E, Tonelli MG, Lauri P, Angeli SD, Damiano C (1999) In vitro shoot regeneration from leaves of wild pear. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 59:1–7
- Campbell MM, Sederoff RR (1996) Variation in lignin content and composition: mechanisms of control and implications for the genetic improvement of plants. Plant Physiol 110:3–13
- Campbell MM, Brunner MM, Jones HM, Strauss SH (2003) Forestry's fertile crescent: the applications of biotechnology to trees. Plant Biotechnol J 1:141–154
- Canhoto JM, Lopes ML, Cruz GS (1999) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in myrtle (Myrtaceae). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 57:13–21
- Chand S, Singh AK (2001) Direct somatic embryogenesis from zygotic embryos of a timber- yielding leguminous tree, *Hardwickia binata* Roxb. Curr Sci 80:882–887
- Chang S-H, Ho C-K, Chen Z-Z (2001) Micropropagation of *Taxus mairei* from mature trees. Plant Cell Rep 20:486–502
- Chaturvedi HC, Mitra GC (1975) A shift in morphogenetic pattern in *Citrus* callus tissue during prolonged culture. Ann Bot 39:683–687
- Cheng ZM, Shi NQ (1995) Micropropagation of mature Siberian elm in two steps. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 41:197–199
- Confalonieri M, Balestrazzi A, Bisoffi S (1994) Genetic transformation of *Populus nigra* by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Plant Cell Rep 13: 256–261
- Confalonieri M, Balestrazzi A, Cella R (1997) Genetic transformation of *Populus deltoides* and *P. xeuramericana* clones using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 48:53–61
- Corrchete MP, Diez JJ, Valle T (1993) Micropropagation of *Ulmus pumila* L. from mature trees. Plant Cell Rep 12:534–536
- Cuenca B, San-Jose MC, Martinez MT (1999) Somatic embryogenesis from stem and leaf explants of *Quercus robur* L. Plant Cell Rep 18:538–543
- Cuenca B, Ballester A, Vietez AM (2000) In vitro adventitious bud regeneration from inter-node segments of beech. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 60:213–220
- Dandekar AM, McGranahan GH, Vail PV, Uratsu SL, Leslie CA, Tebbets JS (1998) High levels of expression of full length Cry I

- A(c) gene from *Bacillus thuringenesis* in transgenic somatic walnut embryos. Plant Sci 131:181–193
- Das AB, Rout GR, Das P (1995) In vitro somatic embryogenesis from callus culture of the timber yielding tree *Hardwickia binata* Roxb. Plant Cell Rep 15:147–149
- Das S, Jha TB, Jha S (1996) În vitro propagation of cashew nut. Plant Cell Rep 15:615–619
- Das P, Samantaray S, Roberts AV, Rout GR (1997) In vitro somatic embryogenesis of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb—a multipurpose timber yielding tree. Plant Cell Rep 16:578–582
- Das DK, Prakash NS, Bhalla-Sarin N (1999) Multiple shoot induction and plant regeneration in litchi ( *Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Plant Cell Rep 18:691–695
- Delldonne M, Allergo G, Belenghi B, Balestrazzi A, Picco F, Levine A, Zelasco S, Calligari P, Confalonieri M (2001) Transformation of white poplar(*Populus alba* L) with a novel *Arabidopsis thaliana* cystine proteinase inhibitor and analysis of insect pest resistance. Mol Breed 7:35–42
- Detrez C, Ndiaye S, Dreyfus B (1994) In vitro regeneration of the tropical multipurpose leguminous tree *Sesbania grandiflora* from cotyledon explants. Plant Cell Rep 14:87–93
- Devi YS, Mukherjee BB, Gupta S (1994) Rapid cloning of elite teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn.) by in vitro multiple shoot production. Ind J Exp Biol 32:668–671
- Distabanjong K, Geneve RL (1997) Multiple shoot formation from cotyledonary node segments of Eastern red bud. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 47:247–254
- Dong JZ, Dunstan DI (1999) Cloning and characterization of six embryogenesis associated cDNAs from somatic embryos of *Picea glauca* and their comparative expression during zygote embryogenesis. Plant Mol Biol 39:859–864
- Dorion N, Hassain A, Guyon P, Godin B, Bigat C (1995) In vitro budding ability of woody internode and *Agrobacterium* susceptibility as prerequisites for Elm genetic transformation. Plant Physiol 146:699–703
- Drake PMW, John A, Power JB, Davey MR (1997a) Cytokinin pulse-mediated shoot organogenesis from cotyledons of sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong. Carr.) and high frequency in vitro rooting of shoots. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 50:147–151
- Drake PMW, John A, Power JB, Davey MR (1997b) Expression of the gus A gene in embryogenic cell lines of Sitka spruce following *Agrobacterium* -mediated transformation. J Exp Bot 48:151–155
- Driver JA, Kuniyuki AH (1984) In vitro propagation of paradox walnut root stocks. Hortic Sci 19:507
- Economov AS, Maloupa EM (1995) Regeneration of *Elaeagnus* angustifolia from leaf segments of in vitro derived shoots. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:285–288
- Endemann M, Hristoforoglu K, Stauber T, Wilhelm E (2001) Assessment of age related polyploidy in *Quercus robur* L. somatic embryos and regenerated plants using DNA flow cytometry. Biol Plant 44:339–343
- Eriksson ME, Israelsson M, Olsson O, Mortiz T (2000) Increased gibberellin biosynthesis in transgenic trees promotes growth, biomass production and xylem fiber length. Nat Biotechnol 18:784–788
- Evers P, Haanstra L, Wermeer E, Van Eeeden S (1996) Influence of reverse change on micropropagation of *Quercus suber*. Plant Tissue Culture Biotechnol 2:148–154
- Fenning TM, Gershenzon J (2002) Where will the wood come from? Plantation forests and role of biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol 20:291–296
- Fernado DD, Owens JN, Misra S (2000) Transient gene expression in pine pollen tubes following particle bombardment. Plant Cell Rep 19:224–228
- Fillatti JJ, Sellmer J, McCown B, Haissig B, Comai L (1987) Agrobacterium mediated transformation and regeneration of Populus. Mol Gen Genet 206:192–199
- Franca SC, Duarte IB, Moraes RM, Pereira AMS (1995) Micropropagation of *Stryphnodendron polyphythum* (Barbatimao). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 42:291–293

- Franche C, Diaye AN, Gobe C, Alloneau C, Bogusz D, Duhoux E (1999) Genetic transformation of *Allocasuarina verticillata* In: Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry. In: Bajaj YPS (ed) Transgenic trees, vol 44. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 1–14
- Franke R, McMichael CM, Shirley AM, Meyer K, Cusumano JC, Chapple C (2000) Modified lignin in tobacco and poplar plants over expressing the *Arabidopsis* gene encoding ferulate 5-hydroxylase. Plant J 22:223–224
- Fuentes SRL, Calheiros MBP, Manetti-Filho J, Vieira LGE (2000)
  The effects of silver nitrate and different carbohydrate sources
  on somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea canephora*. Plant Cell
  Tissue Org Cult 60:5–13
- Gamborg OL, Miller RA, Ojima K (1968) Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cells. Exp Cell Res 50:151– 158
- Garin E, Grinier E, Grenier-De March G (1997) Somatic embryogenesis in wild cherry (*Prunus avium*). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 48:83–91
- Gautam VK, Nanda K, Gupta SC (1993) Development of shoots and roots in anther-derived callus of *Azadirachta indica* A. juss—a medicinal tree. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 34:13–18
- Gentile A, Monticelli S, Damiano C (2002) Adventitious shoot regeneration in peach (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch). Plant Cell Rep 20:1011–1016
- Gion JM, Rech P, Grima-Pettenati J, Verhaegen D, Ploman C (2000) Mapping candidate genes in *Eucalyptus* with emphasis on lignification genes. Mol Breed 6:443–449
- Giri CC, Vijaya Laxmi G (2000) Production of transgenic rice with agronomically useful genes: an assessment. Biotechnol Adv 18:653–683
- Greenwood MS (1987) Rejuvenation of forest trees. Plant Growth Regul 6:1-12
- Gupta PK, Pullman G, Timmis R, Kreitinger M, Carlson W, Grob J, Welty E (1993) Forestry in the 21st century: the biotechnology of somatic embryogenesis. Biotechnology 11:454–459
- Haggman L, Aronen T (1998) Transgene expression in regenerating cotyledons and embryogenic cultures of Scots pine. J Exp Bot 49:1147–1156
- Hamama L, Baaziz M, Letouze R (2001) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from leaf tissue of jojoba. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 65:109–113
- Hammatt N, Grant NJ (1997) Micropropagation of mature British wild cherry. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 47:103–110
- Harada H, Murai Y (1996) Micropropagation of *Prunus mume*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 46:265–267
- Hatanaka T, Choi YE, Kusano T, Sano H (1999) Transgenic plants of coffee *Coffea canephora* from embryogenic callus via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* -mediated transformation. Plant Cell Rep 19:106–110
- Herschbach C, Kopriva S (2002) Transgenic tree as tools in tree and plant physiology. Trees 16:250–261
- Ho CK, Chang SH, Tsay JY, Tsai CJ, Chiang VL, Chen ZZ (1998)

  \*\*Agrobacterium tumefaciens mediated transformation of Eucalyptus camaldulensis and production of transgenic plants. Plant Cell Rep 17:675–680
- Holefors A, Xue ZT, Welander M (1998) Transformation of the apple root stock M26 with the rolA gene and its influence on growth. Plant Sci 136:69–78
- Hossain M, Biswas BK, Karim MR, Rahman S, Islam R, Joarder OI (1994) In vitro organogenesis of elephant apple (*Feronia limonia*). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 39:265–268
- Hristoforoglu K, Schmidt J, Bolhar-Nordenkampf H (1995) Development and germination of *Abies alba* somatic embryos. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:277–284
- Hu WJ, Harding SA, Lung J, Popko JL, Ralph J, Stokke DD, Tsai CJ, Chiang VL (1999) Repression of lignin biosynthesis promotes cellulose accumulation and growth in transgenic trees. Nat Biotechnol 17:808–812
- Huang FH, Al-Khayri JM, Gbur EE (1994) Micropropagation of *Acacia mearnsii*. In vitro Cell Dev Biol 30:70–74

- Humara JM, Lopez M, Ordas RJ (1999) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation of *Pinus pinea* L. cotyledons: an assessment of factors influencing the efficiency of UidA gene transfer. Plant Cell Rep 19:51–58
- Ibaraki Y, Kurata K (2001) Automation of somatic embryo production. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 65:179–199
- Imani J, Tran Thi L, Langen G, Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Lein C, Kumar A, Neuman K-H (2001) Somatic embryogenesis and DNA organization of genomes from selected *Daucus* species. Plant Cell Rep 20:537–541
- Islam R, Hossain M, Karim MR, Joarder OI (1995) Regeneration of Aegle marmelos (L.) Corr. plants in vitro from callus cultures of embryonic tissues. Curr Sci 69:494–495
- Islam R, Hossain M, Hoque A, Joarder OI. (1996) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in *Aegle marmelos* -a multipurpose social tree. Curr Sci 71:259–260
- Jager AK, Staden JV (1996) Somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis in *Encephalartos dyerianus* and *E. natalensis*. Plant Cell, Tiss & Org Cult 45:99–102
- James DJ, Uratsu S, Cheng J, Negri P, Viss P, Dandekar AM (1993) Acetosyringone and osmoprotectants like betaine or proline synergistically enhance *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of apple. Plant Cell Rep 12:559–563
- Jayasree PK, Asokan MP, Sobha S, Ammal LS, Rekha K, Kala RG, Jayasree R, Thulasidharan (1999) A Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from immature anthers of *Hevea brasiliensis* (Muell.). Curr Sci 76:1242–1245
- Jordan M, Velozo J, Sabja AM (1996) Organogenesis in vitro of Nothofagus alpina (P.et E.) Oerst. Fagaceae. Plant Cell Rep 15:795–798
- Jouanin L, Goujon T, de Nadai V, Martin MT, Mila I, Vallet C, Pollet B, Yoshinaga A, Chabbert B, Petit-Conil M, Lapierre C (2000) Lignification in transgenic poplars with extremely reduced caffeic acid O- methyl transferase activity. Plant Physiol 123:1363–1374
- Kannan VR, Jasrai YT (1996) Micropropagation of *Gmelina* arbore. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 46:269–271
- Kao KN, Michayluk MR (1975) Nutritional requirements for growth of Vicia hajastana cells and protoplasts at a very low population density in liquid media. Planta 126:105–110
- Kato M, Mizuno K, Crozziert A, Fujimura T, Ashihara H (2000) Caffeine synthase gene from tea leaves. Nature 406:956–957
- Kaur K, Verma B, Kant U (1998) Plants obtained from the Khair tree (*Acacia catechu* wild.) using mature nodal segments. Plant Cell Rep 17:427-429
- Khlifi S, Tremblay FM (1995) Maturation of black spruce somatic embryos. I. Effect of L-glutamine on the number and germinability of somatic embryos. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 41:23–32
- Kim MK, Sommer HE, Bongorten BC, Merkle SA (1997) High frequency induction of adventitious shoots from hypocotyl segments of *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. by thidiazuron. Plant Cell Rep 16:536–540
- Kim YW, Youn Y, Noh ER, Kim JC (1997) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from immature embryos of fine families of *Quercus acutissima*. Plant Cell Rep 16:869–873
- Kim YW, Youn Y, Noh ER, Kim JC (1999) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from immature zygotic embryos of Japanese larch (*Larix leptolepis*). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 55:95–101
- Kolevska-Pletikapic B, Buturovic-Deric Z (1995) Regeneration of *Picea omarika* plants via organogenesis. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 41:189–192
- Kumar AS, Kumar A (1995) Plant regeneration from cultured embryonic axis in *Thevefia penuviana* L. Ind J. Exp Biol 33:190–193
- Kumari N, Jaiswal U, Jaiswal VS (1998) Induction of somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from leaf callus of *Terminalia arjuna* Bedd. Curr Sci 75:1052–1055
- Kushalkar R, Sharon M (1996) Direct and indirect somatic embryogenesis in teak (*Tectona grandis* L.) Curr Sci 71:712– 715

- Lakshmanan P, Lee CL, Goh CJ (1997) An efficient in vitro method for mass propagation of a woody ornamental *Ixora* coccinea L. Plant Cell Rep 16:572–577
- Lambardi M, Harry IS, Menabeni D, Thorpe TA (1995) Organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in *Cupressus sempervirens*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:179–182
- Lambardi M, Fabbri A, Caccavale A (2000) Cryopreservation of white poplar (*Populus alba* L) by vitrification of in vitrogrown shoot tips. Plant Cell Rep 19:213–218
- Laurain D, Tremouillaux-Guiller J, Chenieux J (1993) Embryogenesis from microspores of *Ginkgo biloba* L. medicinal woody species. Plant Cell Rep 12:501-505
- Laurain D, Chenieux JC, Tremouillaux-Guiller J (1996) Somatic embryogenesis from immature zygotic embryos of *Ginkgo biloba*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 44:19–24
- Laxmi DV, Sharma HC, Kirti PB, Mohan M (1999) Somatic embryogenesis in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amarapali. Curr Sci 77:1355–1358
- Laxmisita G, Raghavaswamy BV (1993) Regeneration of plantlets from leaf disc cultures of rose wood: control of leaf abscission and shoot tip necrosis. Plant Sci 88:107–112
- Laxmisita G, Raghavaswamy BV (1998) Application of Biotechnology in forest trees clonal multiplication of sandal wood, Rose wood, Teak, Eucalypts and Bamboos by tissue culture in India. In: Puri (ed) Tree improvement. Oxford, New Delhi, pp 233–248
- Lemos EEP, Blake J (1996) Micropropagation of juvenile and adult *Annona squamosa*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 46:77–79
- Levee V, Lelu MA, Jouanin L, Cornu D, Pilate G (1997) Agrobacterium tumefaciens mediated transformation of hybrid larch (*Larix Kaempferi* × *L. decidua*) and transgenic plant regeneration. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 48:680–685
- Liang H, Maynard CA, Allen RD, Powell WA (2001) Increased Septoria musiva resistance in transgenic hybrid poplar leafs expressing a wheat oxalate oxidase gene. Plant Mol Biol 45:619–629
- Linington IM (1991) In vitro propagation of *Dipterocarpus alatos* and *Dipterocarpus intricatus*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 27:81–88
- Linsmaier EM, Skoog F (1965) Organic growth factor requirements of tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 18:100–127
- Lisowska K, Wysokiska H (2000) In vitro propagation of *Catalpa ovata* G. Don. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 60:171–176
- Little CHA, Savidge RA (1987) The role of plant growth regulators in forest tree cambial growth Plant Growth Regul 6:137–169
- Litvay JD, Verma DC, Johnson MA (1985) Influence of loblolly pine( *Pinus taeda* L) culture medium and its components on growth and somatic embryogenesis of the wild carrot ( *Daucus carota* L) Plant Cell Rep 4:325–328
- Litz RE, Moon PA, Chavez VM (1995) Somatic embryogenesis from leaf callus derived from mature trees of the cycad *Geratozamia hildae* (Gymnospermae). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 40:25–31
- Litz RE, Hendrix RC, Moon PA, Chavez VM (1998) Induction of embryogenic mango cultures as affected by genotype, explanting 2,4-D and embryogenic nurse culture. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 53:13–18
- Liu Q, Ingersoll J, Owens L, Salih S, Meng R, Hammerschlag F (2001) Genetic transformation and hybridization: Response of transgenic Rayal Gala apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.) shoots carrying a modified cecropin MB39gene, to *Erwinia amylovora*. Plant Cell Rep 20:306–312
- Lucchesini M, Mensauali-Sodi A, Vitagliano C (1993) Micropropagation of *Tamarix gallica* from nodal explants of mature trees. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 35:195–197
- Lulsdorf MM, Tautorus TE, Kikcio SI, Bethune TD, Dunstan DI (1993) Germination of encapsulated embryos of interior spruce ( Picea glauca engelmannii complex) and black spruce ( Picea mariana Mill.). Plant Cell Rep 12:385–389
- Machado ADC, Puschmann M, Putringer H, Kremen R, Katinger H, Machado MLDC (1995) Somatic embryogenesis of *Prunus subhirtella* automno rosa and regeneration of transgenic plant

- after *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation. Plant Cell Rep 14:335–340
- Mackay WA, Tipton JL, Thompson GA (1995) Micropropagation of Mexican red bud, *Cercis canadensis* var. *mexicana*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 43:295–299
- Malagon RR, Borodanenko A, Guerra JLB, Alejo NO (1997) Micropropagation of *Fraseri photinia (Photinia × fraseri)*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 48:219–222
- Mandel MA, Yanofsky MF (1995) A gene triggering flower formation in *Arabidopsis*. Nature 377:522–524
- March GD, Grenier E, Miannay N, Sulmont G, David H, David A (1993) Potential of somatic embryogenesis in *Prunus avium* immature zygotic embryos. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 34:209–215
- Marcotrigiano M, McGleu SP, Hackett G, Chawla B (1996) Shoot regeneration from tissue-cultured leaves of the American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*).Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 44:195–199
- Marks TR, Simpson SE (1994) Factors affecting shoot development in apical dominant *Acer* cultivars in vitro. J Hortic Sci 69:543–551
- Mathews H, Wagoner W, Cohen C, Kellogg J, Bestwick R (1995) Efficient genetic transformation of red raspberry, *Rubus ideaus* L. Plant Cell Rep 14:471–476
- McCown BH, McCabe DE, Russell DR, Robinson DJ, Barton KA, Raffa KF (1991) Stable transformation of *Populus* and incorporation of pest resistance by electric discharge particle acceleration. Plant Cell Rep 9:590–594
- Meilan R, Ma C, Cheng S, Eaton JA, Miller LK, Crockett RP, DiFazio SP, Strauss SH (2000) High levels of Roundup and leaf-beetle resistance in genetically engineered hybrid cotton-woods. In: Blatner KA, Johnson JD, Baumgartner DM (eds) Hybrid poplars in the Pacific Northwest: culture, commerce and capability. Cooperative Extension Bulletin MISC0272. Washington State University, Pullman, pp 29–38
- Merkle SA, Battle PJ (2000) Enhancement of embryogenic culture initiation from tissues of mature sweet gum trees. Plant Cell Rep 19:268–273
- Merkle SA, Hoey MT, Watson-Pauley BA, Schlarbaum SE (1993) Propagation of *Liriodendron* hybrids via somatic embryogenesis. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 34:191–198
- Miguel CM, Oliveira MM (1999) Transgenic almond (*Prunus dulcis* Mill.) plants obtained by *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of leaf explants. Plant Cell Rep 18:387–393
- Mohri T, Mukai Y, Shinohara K (1997) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation of Japanese white birch (*Betula platyphylla* var. *japonica*). Plant Sci 127:53–60
- Momcilovic I, Grubisic D, Neskovic M (1997) Micropropagation of four Gentiana species (*G.lutea*, *G.cruciata*, *G.purpurea* and *G.acaulis*). Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 49:141–144
- Monsalede MJ, Mathews H, Litz RE Gray DJ (1995) Control of hyper hydricity of mango somatic embryos. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 42:195–206
- Moura-costa PH, Viana AM, Mantell SH (1993) In vitro plant regeneration of *Ocotea catharinensis*, an endangered Brazilian hardwood forest tree. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 35:279–286
- Moyle R, Moody J, Phillips L, Walter C, Wagner A (2002) Isolation and characterization of a *Pinus radiata* lignin biosynthesis-related O-methyletransferase promoter. Plant Cell Rep 20:1052–1060
- Mullins KV, Llewellyn DJ, Hartney VJ, Strauss S, Dennis ES (1997) Regeneration and transformation of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. Plant Cell Rep 16:787–791
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol Plant 15:473–497
- Murashige T, Tucker DPH (1969) Growth factor requirements of citrus tissue cultures. In: Chapman JV (ed) First Int Citrus Symp. University of California, Riverside, pp 1156–1161
- Nandwani D, Ramawat KG (1992) High frequency plant regeneration from seedling explants of *Prosopis tamarugo*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 29:173-178

- Neuman MC, Preece JC, Sambeek JWV, Gaffney GR (1993) Somatic embryogenesis and callus production from cotyledon explants of eastern black walnut. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 32:9–18
- Nitsch JP (1969) Experimental androgenesis in *Nicotiana*. Phytomorphology 19:389–404
- Noiton D, Vine JH, Mullins MG (1992) Effect of serial subculture in vitro on the endogenous levels of indole-3-acetic acid and abscisic acid and rootability in micro cutting of "Jonathan" apple. Plant Growth Regul 11:377–383
- Nugent G, Chandler SF, Whiteman P (2001) Somatic embryogenesis in *Eucalyptus globulus*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 67:85–88
- Ogawa M, Herai Y, Koizumi N, Kusano T, Sano H (2001) 7-Methylxanthine methyl transferase of coffee plants, gene isolation and enzymatic properties. J Biol Chem 276:8213– 8218
- Onay A, Jaffree CE, Theobald C, Yeoman MM (2000) Analysis of the effects of maturation treatments on the probabilities of somatic embryo germination and plantlet regeneration in *Pistachio* using a linear logistic method. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 60:121–129
- Palombi MA, Damiano C (2002) Comparison between RAPD and SSR molecular markers in detecting genetic variation in kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* A. Chev). Plant Cell Rep 20:1061–1066
- Pattnaik SK, Chand PK (1997) Rapid clonal propagation of three mulberries, *Morus cathayana* Hemsl., *M. lhou* Koiz and *M. serrata* Roxb. through in vitro culture of apical shoot buds and nodal explants from mature trees. Plant Cell Rep 16:503–508
- Pena L, Seguin A (2001) Recent advances in the genetic transformation of trees. Trends Biotechnol 19:500–506
- Pena L, Martin-Trillo M, Jaurez J, Pina JA, Navarro L, Martinez-Zapater JM (2001) Constitutive expression of *Arabidopsis* LEAFY or APETALA 1 genes in *Citrus* reduces their generation time. Nat Biotechnol 19:263–267
- Perales EH, Scheieder (1993) Plant regeneration from leaf protoplasts of apple. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 34:71–76
- Philomina NS, Rao JVS (2000) Micropropagation of Sapindus mukorossi Gaertn. Ind J Exp Biol 38:621–624
- Pilate G, et al (2002) Field and pulping performances of transgenic trees with altered lignification. Nat Biotechnol 20:607–612
- Pradhan C, Kar S, Patnaik S, Chand PK (1998) Propagation of Dalbergia sissoo Roxb. through in vitro shoot proliferation from cotyledonary nodes. Plant Cell Rep 18:122–126
- Pradhan C, Pattnaik Š, Dwari M, Patnaik SN (1998) Efficient plant regeneration from cell suspension derived callus of East Indian rose wood (*Dalbergia latifolia* Roxb.). Plant Cell Rep 18:138–142
- Purohit VK, Tamta S, Chandra S, Vyas P, Palni LMS, Nandi SK (2002) In vitro multiplication of *Quercus leucotricophora* and *Q. glauca*: important Himalayan oaks. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 69:121–133
- Quiroz-Figueroa F, Mendez-Zeel M, Larque-Saavedra A, Loyola-Vargas VM (2001) Picomolar concentrations of salicylates induce cellular growth and enhance somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea arabica* tissue culture. Plant Cell Rep 20:679–684
- Quoirin M, Lepoivre P (1977) Improved media for in vitro culture of *Prunus* sp. Acta Hortic 78:437–442
- Quraishi A, Koche V, Mishra SK (1996) In vitro micropropagation from nodal segments of *Cleistanthus collinus*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 45:87–91
- Ragkhla A, Jones MGK (1998) Somatic embryogenesis and plantlet formation in *Santalum album* and *S. spicatum*. J Exp Bot 49:563–571
- Rahmann MH, Rajora OP (2001) Microsatellite DNA somaclonal variation in micropropagated trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). Plant Cell Rep 20:531–536
- Rani V, Raina SN (2000) Genetic fidelity of organized meristem derived micropropagated plants: a critical reappraisal. In Vitro Cell Biol Plant 36:319–330

- Rao CD, Goh CJ, Kumar PP (1996) High frequency adventitious shoot regeneration from excised leaves of Paulownia spp. cultured in vitro. Plant Cell Rep 16:204-209
- Ravikumar R, Ananthakrishnan G, Kathiravan K, Ganapathi A (1998) In vitro propagation of Dendrocalamus strictus nees. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 52:189–192
- Reddy PC, Patil V, Prasad TG, Padma K, Udayakumar M (1995) In vitro axillary bud break and multiple shoot production in Acacia auriculiformis by tissue culture technique. Curr Sci 69:495-496
- Reynoird JP, Mourgues F, Norelli J, Aldwinckle HS, Brisset MN, Chevreau E (1999) First evidence for improved resistance to fire blight in transgenic Pear expressing the attacin E gene from Hyalophora cecropia. Plant Sci 149:23-31
- Rinaldi LMR, Leva AR (1995) In vitro organogenesis from diploid tissues of Cycas revoluta Thunb. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult
- Risser PG, White PR (1964) Nutritional requirement of spruce tumor cells in vitro. Physiol Plant 17:620-635
- Romano A, Barros S, Martins-Loucao MA (2002) Micropropagation of the Mediterranean tree Ceratonia siliqua. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 68:35-41
- Rottmann WH, Meilan R, Sheppard LA, Brunner AM, Skinner JS, Ma C, Cheng S, Jouanin L, Pilate G, Strauss SH (2000) Diverse effect of over expression of LEAFY and PTLF, a Poplar (Populus) homolog of LEAFY/FLORICAULA in transgenic poplar and Arabidopsis. Plant J 22:235–245
- Rout GR, Das P (1993) Micropropagation of Madhuca longifolia (Koenig) MacBride var. latifolia Roxb. Plant Cell Rep 12:513-
- Rout GR, Das P (1994a) Somatic embryogenesis and in vitro flowering of 3 species of bamboo. Plant Cell Rep 13:683–686
- Rout GR, Das P (1994b) Somatic embryogenesis in Simarouba glauca. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 37:79-81
- Rout GR, Das P (1994c) Direct plant regeneration from cotyledon explants of Simarouba glauca Linn in vitro. Pro Natl Acad Sci India 32:581–583
- Rout GR, Samantaray S, Das P (1995) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from callus cultures of Acacia catechu —a multipurpose leguminous tree. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 42:283-285
- Rugh CL, Senecoff JF, Meagher RB, Merkle SA (1998) Development of transgenic yellow poplar for mercury phytoremediation. Nat Biotechnol 16:925-928
- Saito A, Suzuki M (1999) Plant regeneration from meristem derived callus protoplasts of apple (Malus × domestica cv. Fuji). Plant Cell Rep 18:549-553
- Salvi ND, Singh H, Tivarekar S, Eapen S (2001) Plant regeneration from different explants of neem. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 65:159-162
- Sandhu JS, Osadjan MD, Krasnyanski SF, Domier LL, Korban SS, Buetow DE (1999) Enhanced expression of the human respiratory syncytial virus F gene in apple leaf protoplasts. Plant Cell Rep 18:394-397
- Sankhla D, Davis TD, Sankhla N (1996) In vitro regeneration of silk tree (Albizzia julibrissin) from excised roots. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 44:83-86
- Schenk RU, Hilderbrandt AC (1972) Medium and techniques for the induction and growth of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant cell cultures. Can J Bot 50:199-204
- Schuler TH, Poppy GM, Kerry BR, Denholm I (1998) Insect resistance transgenic plants. Trends Biotechnol 16:168-175
- Scorza R, Callahan A, Levy L, Damsteegt V, Webb K, Ravelonandro M (2001) Post transcriptional gene silencing in Plum Pox virus resistant transgenic European Plum containing the Plum Pox poty virus coat protein gene. Transgenic Res 10:201-
- Scott ES, Rao AN, Loh CS (1995) Preliminary studies of micropropagation of *Hopea odorata*, a dipterocarp tree. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 41:193–196
- Seabra RC, Pais MS (1998) Genetic transformation of European chestnut. Plant Cell Rep 17:177-182

- Sharma A, Kumar A (1994) Somatic embryogenesis and plantlet regeneration from leaf derived cell suspension of a mature tree Thevetia peruviana L. Plant Cell Rep 14:171-174
- Shibata W, Murai F, Akiyama T, Siriphol M, Matsunaga E, Merimoto H (1996) Micropropagation of Croton sublyratus Kurz a tropical tree of medicinal importance. Plant Cell Rep 16:147-152
- Shyoma Y, Zhu XX, Nakai R, Shimishi S, Kohda H (1997) Micropropagation of Panax notoginseng by somatic embryogenesis and RAPD analysis of regenerated plantlets. Plant Cell Rep 16:450-453
- Singh AK, Chand S, Pattnaik S, Chand PK (2002) Adventitious shoot organogenesis and plant regeneration from cotyledons of Dalbergia sissoo Roxb, a timber yielding tree legume. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 68:203-209
- Sinha RK, Majumdar K, Sinha S (2000) Somatic embryogenesis and plantlet regeneration from leaf explants of Sapindus mukorossi Gaertn: a soapnut tree. Curr Sci 78:620-623
- Siril EA, Dhar U (1997) Micropropagation of mature Chinese tallaw tree Sapium sebiferum Roxb.). Plant Cell Rep 16:637-
- Sommer SE, Brown CL, Kormanik PP (1975) Differentiation of plantlets in long leaf Pine (Pinus palustris Mill) tissue cultured in vitro. Bot Gaz 136:196-200
- Sreenath HL, Santa HM, Babu KH, Naidu MM (1995) Somatic embryogenesis from integument (Perisperm) cultures of Coffee. Plant Cell Rep 14:670–673
- Sterky F, Regan S, Karlsson J, Hertzberg M, Rohde A, Holmberg A, Amini B, Bhalerao R, Larsson M, Villarroel R, Van Montagu M, Sandberg G, Olsson O, Teeri T, Boerjan W, Gustafsson P, Uhlen M, Sundberg B, Lundeberg J (1998) Gene discovery. I. The wood-forming tissues of poplar: analysis of 5,692 expressed sequence tags. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:13330-13335
- Stone SL, Kwong LW, Yee KM, Pelletier J, Lepiniec L, Fischer RL, Coldberg RB, Harada JJ (2001) LEAFY COTYLEDON encodes a B3 domain transcription factor that induces embryo development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:11806-11811
- Strauss SH, Scott CS (2002) Tree genetic engineering. Modifying trees to meet the wood and environmental needs of a growing world. TGERC, Oregon
- Strauss SH, Knowe SA, Jenkins J (1997) Benefits and risks of transgenic, roundup ready cottonwoods. J For 95:12-19
- Su WW, Wen-Ing Hwang, Kim SY, Sagawa Y (1997) Induction of somatic embryogenesis in Azadirachta indica. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 50:91-95
- Talleux LR, Diemer F, Seurdioux M, Chapelain K, Grenier De March G (1999) Improvement of somatic embryogenesis in wild cherry (Prunus avium). Effect of maltose and ABA supplements. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 55:199-209
- Tang W, Guo Z (2001) In vitro propagation of loblolly pine via direct somatic organogenesis from mature cotyledons and hypocotyls. Plant Growth Regul 33:25-31 Teng WL, Nicholson L, Teng MC (1997) Micropropagation of
- Spathoglottis plicata. Plant Cell Rep 16:831-835
- Termignoni RR, Po-Jen Wang, Ching-Y H (1996) Somatic embryo induction in Eucalyptus dunnii. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 45:129-132
- Thakur R, Rao PS, Bapat VA (1998) In vitro plant regeneration in Melia azedarach. Plant Cell Rep 18:127–131
- Tian LN, Charest PJ, Seguin A, Rutledge RG (2000) Hygromycin resistance is an effective selectable marker for biolistic transformation of black spruce (Picea mariana). Plant Cell Rep 19:358-362
- Tuominen H, Sibon F, Jacobsson C, Sandberg G, Olsson O, Sundberg B (1995) Altered growth and wood characteristics in transgenic hybrid aspen expressing Agrobacterium tumefaciens T-DNA indoleacetic acid -biosynthetic genes. Plant Physiol 109:1179-1189
- Tzfira T, Zuker a, Altmann A (1998) Forestry biotechnology: genetic transformation and its application to future forest. Trends Biotechnol 16:439-446

- Van Raemdonck D, Jaziri M, Boerjan W, Baucher M (2001) Advances in the improvement of forest trees through biotechnology. Belg J Bot 134:64–78
- Veisseire P, Linossier L, Coudret A (1994) Effect of abscisic acid and cytokinins on the development of somatic embryos in *Hevea brasiliensis*. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 39:219–22
- Villarreal ML, Rojas G (1996) In vitro propagation of *Mimosa tenuiflora* (Willd.) Poiret, a Mexican medicinal tree. Plant Cell Rep 16:80–82
- Watika Y, Sasamoto H, Yokota S, Yoshizawa N (1996) Plantlet regeneration from mesophyll protoplasts of *Betula platyphylla* var. *japonica*. Plant Cell Rep 16:50–53
- Wawrosch C, Maskay N, Kopp B (1999) Micropropagation of the threatened Nepalese medicinal plant *Swertia chirata* Buch. Ham. Ex Wall. Plant Cell Rep 18:997–1001
- Weigel D, Nilsson O (1995) A developmental switch sufficient for flower initiation in diverse plants. Nature 377:495–500
- Wilhelm E (1999) Micropropagation of juvenile sycamore maple via adventitious shoot formation by use of thidiazuron. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 57:57–60
- Williams CG, Savolainen O (1996) Inbreeding depression in conifers: implications for using selfing as a breeding strategy. For Sci 42:102–117
- Winton LW (1968) The rooting of liquid grown aspen cultures. Am J Bot 55:159–167
- Wolter KE, Skoog F (1966) Nutritional requirements of *Fraxinus* callus cultures. Am J Bot 53:263-269

- WWF (2002) GM technology in the forest sector: a scoping study for WWF. Global trends http://www.panda.org/resources/
- Xie DY, Hong Y (2002) Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of Acacia mangium. Plant Cell Rep 20:917–922
- Xing Z, Powell WA, Maynard CA (1999) Development and germination of American chestnut somatic embryos. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 57:47–55
- Yang G, Read PE (1997) In vitro shoot proliferation of 5-leaf Aralia explants from field grown plants and forced dormant stems. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 47:289–291
- Yan-Xiu Z, Dun-Yi Y, Harris PJC (1993) Plant regeneration from callus and explants of *Sesbania* spp. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 34:253–260
- Yao JL, Cohan D, Brink RVD, Morris B (1999) Assessment of expression and inheritance patterns of three transgenes with the aid of techniques for promoting rapid flowering of transgenic apple trees. Plant Cell Rep 18:727–732
- Yasseen YM, Barringer SA, Schnell RJ, Splittstoesser WE (1995) In vitro shoot proliferation and propagation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) from germinated seedlings. Plant Cell Rep 14:525–528
- Yu C, Chen Z, Lu L, Lin J (2000) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from litchi protoplasts isolated from embryonic suspensions. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 61:51–58